# FLUVANNA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 

Regular Meeting Agenda<br>Carysbrook Performing Arts Center<br>8880 James Madison Hwy Fork Union, VA 23055

Tuesday, May 9, 2023
6:00 pm Work Session
7:00 pm Regular Meeting

## TAB AGENDA ITEM

## WORK SESSION

A. Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance and followed by a Moment of Silence
B. Reventon Farms Special Use Permit Applications - An Overview of the proposed Camp development
C. Utility-Scale Solar Generation Facility Land Uses v/s By Right Accessory Rooftop Solar Applications
D. Adjournment
REGULAR MEETING

## 1 - CALL TO ORDER, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND FOLLOWED BY A MOMENT OF SILENCE

2 - DIRECTOR'S REPORT - Douglas Miles, AICP, CZA, Community Development Director
3 - PUBLIC COMMENTS \#1 (5 minutes per speaker)

4 - MINUTES: Review and Approval of Draft Minutes from March 7, 2023
5 - PUBLIC HEARINGS:
ZMP 23:02 Renaud Consulting - A rezoning request to conditionally rezone from A-1, Agricultural, General and I-1, Industrial, Limited to the B-1, Business, General Zoning District with respect to $4.7+/$ - acres of Tax Map 5 Section A Parcels 48, 51, part of 53 and part of 53; Tax Map 5A Section 1 Parcel L2 and Tax Map 5A Section 2 Parcels L1 and L1A. The subject properties are located in the southwest quadrant of Richmond Road (Route 250) and James Madison Highway (Route 15) in the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area and the Columbia Election District.

## 6 - PRESENTATIONS: None

7 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS: None

## 8 - SUBDIVISIONS: None

9 - UNFINISHED BUSINESS: None
10 - NEW BUSINESS: None
11 - PUBLIC COMMENTS \#2 (5 minutes per speaker)

## 12 - ADJOURNMENT

## Douglas Miles

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

# I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. 

## ORDER

1. It shall be the duty of the Chairman to maintain order and decorum at meetings. The Chairman shall speak to points of order in preference to all other members.
2. In maintaining decorum and propriety of conduct, the Chairman shall not be challenged and no debate shall be allowed until after the Chairman declares that order has been restored. In the event the Commission wishes to debate the matter of the disorder or the bringing of order; the regular business may be suspended by vote of the Commission to discuss the matter.
3. No member or citizen shall be allowed to use abusive language, excessive noise, or in any way incite persons to use such tactics. The Chairman shall be the judge of such breaches, however, the Commission may vote to overrule both.
4. When a person engages in such breaches, the Chairman shall order the person's removal from the building, or may order the person to stand silent, or may, if necessary, order the person removed from the County property.

## PUBLIC HEARING RULES OF PROCEDURE

1. PURPOSE

- The purpose of a public hearing is to receive testimony from the public on certain resolutions, ordinances or amendments prior to taking action.
- A hearing is not a dialogue or debate. Its express purpose is to receive additional facts, comments and opinion on subject items.

2. SPEAKERS

- Speakers should approach the lectern so they may be visible and audible to the Commission.
- Each speaker should clearly state his/her name and address.
- All comments should be directed to the Commission.
- All questions should be directed to the Chairman. Members of the Commission are not expected to respond to questions, and response to questions shall be made at the Chairman's discretion.
- Speakers are encouraged to contact staff regarding unresolved concerns or to receive additional information.
- Speakers with questions are encouraged to call County staff prior to the public hearing.
- Speakers should be brief and avoid repetition of previously presented comments.

3. ACTION

- At the conclusion of the public hearing on each item, the Chairman will close the public hearing.
- The Commission will proceed with its deliberation and will act on or formally postpone action on such item prior to proceeding to other agenda items.
- Further public comment after the public hearing has been closed generally will not be permitted.


# FLUVANNA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES 

Carysbrook Performing Arts Center 8880 James Madison Highway<br>Fork Union, VA 23055

Tuesday, April 11, 2023
Regular Meeting 7:00 pm

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Barry Bibb, Chair
Howard Lagomarsino, Vice Chair
Mike Goad, Commissioner
Bree Key, Commissioner
Lorretta Johnson-Morgan, Commissioner
Patricia Eager, Board of Supervisors member

ABSENT:

## STAFF PRESENT:

None
Eric Dahl, County Administrator Douglas Miles, Community Development Director Jason Overstreet, Senior Planner Fred Payne, County Attorney Valencia Porter-Henderson, Administrative Programs Specialist

## 1. CALL TO ORDER, THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND A MOMENT OF SILENCE:

At 7:00 pm, Chair Bibb, called the April 11, 2023 Regular Meeting to Order, led in the Pledge of Allegiance and then he conducted a Moment of Silence.

Chair Bibb stated that he had received a request from Commissioner Johnson-Morgan to add to the Agenda under New Business a brief discussion on the Adjacent Property Owner notification process that is for the notification of Public Hearings conducted by the Planning Commission.
2. DIRECTOR'S REPORT - Douglas Miles, AICP, CZA, Community Development Director

Friday, March 24th Central Virginia Regional Housing Partnership - Charlottesville Omni
Housing and Economic Development Session by Virginia Tech's Virginia Center for Housing Research - Workforce Housing "No housing for the carpenters and electricians to build the affordable housing needed in Virginia" was a comment that summed up the housing crisis.

Preventing Rural Displacement in Housing Nelson County on how to ensure that long-term residents can continue to live and work there. Fluvanna - Louisa Housing Foundation - Kim Hyland is working with Fluvanna County staff on Senior housing opportunities within County.

## Thursday, April 13th Technical Review Committee meeting and Pre-Application meeting

ZMP 23:02 Renaud Consulting (Wawa) Conditional Rezoning Request: Rezoning from A-1 and I-1 to B-1 conditional zoning to permit a Wawa convenience store, deli restaurant and nine gasoline pumps and a second outparcel located completely within Fluvanna County at Route 250 and 15.

Reventon Farms Glamping Cabins and Outdoor Recreational Facilities: New Camp and Outdoor Recreational uses proposed on 745 acres, with 300 in Fluvanna and 445 in Albemarle, with 250 cabins, offering up biking, hiking, birding, adventure forest and an equestrian center on property with access in Fluvanna County on Rolling Road S and Briery Creek Road in a rural, remote area.

## Fluvanna County Utility-Scale Solar and Solar Energy Storage Planning Commission Update

Siting Agreement may include terms and conditions, including (i) mitigation of any impacts of such solar project or energy storage project; (ii) financial compensation to the host locality to address capital needs set out in the (a) Capital Improvement Plan adopted by the host locality, (b) current fiscal budget of the host locality, or (c) fiscal fund balance policy adopted by the host locality; or (iii) assistance by the applicant in the deployment of broadband, as defined in VA State Code 56-585.1:9, in such locality.

Solar Panels and Inverters Recycling Plan should have a clear Plan on the disposal or a recycling destination and not in Fluvanna County. There are centers available on the east and west coasts.

Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) Recycling Information: While most solar panels produced today will have a useful life for decades, there is inevitable waste stream created during production and use, when solar panels are damaged during shipment or installation, determined to be defective or they become obsolete or reach their solar end-of-life use.

Solar panels typically consist of glass, aluminum, copper, silver and semiconductor materials that can be successfully recovered and reused. By weight, more than 80 percent of a typical solar panel is glass and aluminum, which are both common and easy-to-recycle as materials.

Fluvanna County Solar Generation Facility Definitions
Solar generation facility, minor scale: An on-site solar energy conversion system producing not more than 15 KW of electricity. Small scale solar energy systems generally reduce on-site consumption of utility power for civic, commercial and industrial applications. On-site may include adjacent parcels under common use, ownership and control. Rooftop arrays do not require zoning approval. Ground mounted arrays require zoning approval as accessory structures.

Solar generation facility, small scale: An on-site solar energy conversion system producing less than 2 MW of electricity. Minor scale solar energy conversion systems generally reduce on-site consumption of utility power for civic, commercial and industrial applications. On-site may also include adjacent parcels under common use, ownership and control.

Solar generation facility, utility scale: A solar energy conversion system producing 2 MW or more of electricity to a utility provider. Such facilities interconnect with an existing electrical grid serving other off-site facilities which are not adjacent or under common use, ownership or control.

## Fluvanna County Solar Generation Facility - Special Use Permit Recommended Conditions:

Site Construction, Expansion and Operation condition: Pile driving and site deliveries limited to sunrise - sunset, Monday - Friday; other activities Monday - Sunday, it meets Noise Ordinance.

Construction Traffic Management Plan condition: submitted to VDOT and Fluvanna County to address pre- and post-construction road evaluation and a plan to repair roads, if any damages.

Site Parking, Phasing and Staging Plan condition: site access plan directing employee and site delivery traffic, to minimize all conflicts with local traffic during the peak construction periods.

Construction Mitigation Plan condition: addresses dust by watering and mud mitigation with use of construction wash racks to keep sediment on-site and avoid burning and smoke issues.

Vegetative Buffer and Setback condition: minimum fifty (50) foot setback from public roads and agricultural and residential properties, occupied or unoccupied, until other non-residential uses.

Supplemental Buffer and Groundcover condition: twenty-five (25) foot buffer planting area when mature vegetation does not exist adjacent to agricultural and residential properties. Site groundcover consisting of native plant materials to benefit bees, birds and beneficial insects and use of synthetic herbicides to control the site vegetation would not be permitted on premises.

Fluvanna County Fire Training condition: applicant, owner or operator to provide training to County personnel responding to facility and provided with site manager contact information during site construction, expansion or operations for all public safety emergency situations.

Decommissioning Plan condition: Approved by County Administrator and reviewed by the County Attorney and Community Development Director for when solar generation facility is inactive and is considered shutdown for delivery of electricity to the grid at this location. The decommissioning it shall commence within six (6) months of receipt of such notice from the applicant, owner or operator at their expense under the approved, bonded site agreement.

## 3. PUBLIC COMMENTS \#1

Chair Bibb opened Public Comments \#1 at 7:35 pm by giving each Public speaker a limit of five (5) minutes to speak and he asked that they state their name and their address for the record. With no one wishing to speak in person or online, Chair Bibb closed the first round of Public Comments at 7:36 pm.
4. DRAFT MINUTES:

| MOTION: | To approve the minutes of the Planning Commission of March 7, 2023. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEMBER: | Bibb | Goad | Key | Lagomarsino | Morgan |  |
| ACTION: |  | Motion |  |  | Second |  |
| VOTE: | Aye | Aye | Aye | Aye | Aye |  |
| RESULT: | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

ZMP 23:01 J \& B LMO, LLC - Douglas Miles, AICP, CZA Community Development Director A rezoning request to conditionally rezone from A-1, Agricultural, General and R-4, Residential, Limited to the B-C, Business, Convenience Zoning District with respect to $2.2+/-$ acres as Tax Map 9 Section A Parcel 15B and Tax Map 18A Section 7 Parcel 158A. The subject properties are located north of Lake Monticello Road (SR 618) and known as 2987 Lake Monticello Road in the Rivanna Community Planning Area and the Palmyra Election District.

When reviewing this conditional rezoning application, the Planning Commission should take into consideration any potential adverse impacts that the development may have on this portion of Fluvanna County and traffic generation issues have been analyzed generally by the VDOT Land Use Engineer. The proposed land uses would occupy this former dental and office building and would allow for similar uses to be located in this office building to serve the neighborhood area.

The applicant has worked with both the Building Official and Community Development Director on his existing office building to make sure that the existing building can be remodeled in order to modernize the building for new land uses that would serve this immediate neighborhood area. The underlying zoning is no longer suitable and there would also be a Subdivision Plat prepared to combine the two (2) subject parcels into one commercial parcel to allow for the new land uses.

Chair Bibb opened the Public Hearing at 7:46 pm by giving each speaker a limit of five (5) minutes to speak and he asked that they state their name and their address for the record. With no one wishing to speak in person or online, Chair Bibb closed the Public Hearing at 7:47 pm , returned it to the Planning Commission for discussion on the proposed rezoning request.

Vice-Chair Lagomarsino stated he had some limited concerns with commercial traffic on SR 618. As the subject property is located right near the Lake Monticello Main gate with traffic concerns.

Mr. Miles stated that Aaron LeBeau, PE, VDOT stated during the TRC meeting that he analyzed the proposed land uses and found them to be similar to what already had been in this building. During Site Plan Review for the next permitted use both the County Planning and VDOT Land Use staff would perform transportation planning reviews and make suggested improvements.

Ms. Johnson-Morgan asked about what could be done behind the existing building and how would you access that area for business use and if there were any neighborhood concerns?

Mr. Miles stated that during site plan review County staff would work with the applicant to have the proposed land use to be sited correctly, be screened and situated for any new business uses.

There were a few calls and e-mails inquiring about if a self-storage facility could be built there and the answer is No, not under the B-C, Business Convenience zoning, it is not a use by right.

Dr. John and Betty Lyon, applicants, were present and did not have any case comments as they were satisfied with the proposed conditional rezoning as County Staff assisted them with their case via the Planning and Community Development Department and VDOT - Louisa Residency.

| MOTION: | I move that the Planning Commission recommends Approval of ZMP 23:01, a request to amend the Fluvanna County Zoning Map on $2.2+/$ - acres of Tax Map 9, Section A, Parcel 15B and Tax Map 18A Section 7 Parcel 158A to conditionally rezone the same from A-1, General Agricultural and R-4, Limited Residential to the B-C, Business Convenience Zoning District and subject to the proffers dated February 27, 2023. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEMBER: | Bibb | Goad | Key | Lagomarsino | Morgan |
| ACTION: |  | Motion | Second |  |  |
| VOTE: | Aye | Aye | Aye | Aye | Aye |
| RESULT: | Recommended Approval, 5-0 with proffered conditions |  |  |  |  |

SUP 23:02 Andrea and Robert Nickels - Douglas Miles, Community Development Director A Special Use Permit request in the A-1 Agricultural, General District to permit Agricultural enterprise and Event facility uses on 42.9 +/- acres known as Tax Map 48 Section 1 Parcel 3. The property is located on the north line of West River Road (Route 6) and is known as 5199 West River Road. The subject property is located in the Rural Residential Planning Area and the Cunningham Election District.

Mr. Miles provided a summary of the land use requests, as Agricultural enterprise and Event facility uses, that as part of a winery, but also being more intensive uses, beyond viticulture as currently a commercial tasting room and a proposed commercial event facility ballroom area.

Rob Nickels reviewed with the Planning Commission and general public their specific building plans that included the indoor wine production space as part of the winery and vineyard area. He explained further their building expansion plans for a catering kitchen area, new bathrooms and event facility barn addition where regular weddings and receptions would be held indoors.

Staff recommended Approval of the proposed Agricultural enterprise and Event facility use provided that the impact upon the surrounding property owners is minimal. Staff has proposed recommended conditions to ensure that the uses comply with all Federal, State and County Code requirements:

1. This Special Use Permit is granted for an Agricultural enterprise and Event facility use to Andrea and Robert Nickels and is not transferable and it does not run with the land on Tax Map 48 Section 1 Parcel 3.
2. The applicants will provide staffing for private parking and traffic circulation purposes from Route 6 with event personnel clearly marked as "Event Staff" for safety reasons. The Fluvanna County Sheriff's Office shall be notified at least thirty (30) days prior to the Event facility events
that are between 100 to 200 persons to be located on the premises.
3. The Event facility events with outdoor live or recorded music shall be from 10:00 am until 11:00 pm , with the exception of 5 K runs, that may start at 7:00 am on the premises.
4. The applicants shall ensure compliance with the Noise Ordinance of the Code of the County of Fluvanna, as adopted and as enforced by the Fluvanna County Sheriff's Office.
5. The site shall be maintained in a neat and orderly manner so that the visual appearance from the public right-of-way and adjacent properties is acceptable to County officials.
6. The Board of Supervisors, or its representative, reserves the right to inspect the property for compliance with these conditions at any time.
7. Under Section 22-17-4 F (2) of the Fluvanna County Code, the Board of Supervisors has the authority to revoke a Special Use Permit if the property owners have substantially breached the conditions of the Special Use Permit.

Chair Bibb opened the Public Hearing at $8: 11 \mathrm{pm}$ by giving each speaker a limit of five (5) minutes to speak and he asked that they state their name and address for the record, either in person or online:

Thomas Morgan at 4 Cove Circle: spoke in favor of this Special Use Permit request.
Bruce Lamb at 151 Blue Mountain Lane: spoke in favor of this Special Use Permit request.
Bruce Downie at 274 Rosewood Drive: spoke in favor of this Special Use Permit request.
Brian and Kim Hyland at 1015 Poplar Spring Road: spoke online in favor of this request.
Kyle and Jeanne Rosemann at 22 West Lake Court: spoke online in favor of this request.
Don Morgan at 21359 Clearwater Court, Ashburn Virginia: spoke online as an outside tourist who regularly attends events at Hardware Hills Vineyard spoke in favor of the Special Use Permit uses.

Chair Bibb closed the Public Hearing at 8:21 pm and he returned the matter back to the Commission. Several Planning Commissioners spoke briefly in support of this Event Facility request which allows for more events to take place completely in Fluvanna County rather than in Charlottesville or Richmond.

| MOTION: | I move that the Planning Commission recommends Approval of SUP 23:02, <br> a request to permit an Agricultural enterprise and Event facility use with <br> respect to 42.9 +/- acres of Tax Map 48, Section 1, Parcel 3, subject to the <br> seven (7) conditions listed in the staff report. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEMBER: | Bibb | Goad | Key | Lagomarsino | Morgan |  |
| ACTION: |  | Second |  | Motion |  |  |
| VOTE: | Aye | Aye | Aye | Aye | Aye |  |
| RESULT: | Recommended Approval 5-0 with recommended staff conditions |  |  |  |  |  |

## 6. PRESENTATIONS:

None

## 7. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS:

None

## 8. SUBDIVISIONS:

None

## 9. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

None

## 10. NEW BUSINESS:

ADDED: Adjacent Property Owner (APO) Notification Process.
Ms. Johnson-Morgan indicated she was concerned with the existing Adjacent Property Owner (APO) notification process that is to notify the surrounding property owners of a certain case. She stated that Fluvanna County could supplement the case notification process by utilizing the Fluvanna County website and is aware of the FAN Mail notification system that is also available.

Mr. Goad responded to Ms. Johnson-Morgan's concerns with his thoughts and general research on how the adjacent property owner notification process could be done with better technology. They both were in agreement and thought they could work together to come up with solutions.

Mr. Payne stated to be careful about what is required to be provided as general information and public information that are two different items legally and by state statute for public notification purposes. Chair Bibb stated some of these same comments but wanted to hear more from the County staff working with the Commissioners to come up with better ways to notify the public.

Ms. Johnson-Morgan suggested that Planning Commissioners could work together to notify the public through the Fluvanna Review and the Fluvanna County Library for those that do not use a computer or get notifications on their smart phones and they can sign up for FAN Mail updates.

She stated further that citizens are complaining about small Zoning signs and Mr. Miles has now worked towards using larger, metal signs on major corridors; but we can do more, as folks they are frustrated when they do not get notified but do hear later on about a particular zoning case.

## 11. PUBLIC COMMENTS \#2:

Chair Bibb opened Public Comments \#2 at 9:06 pm by giving each speaker a limit of five (5) minutes to speak and asked that they state their full name and property address for the record. With no one coming forward wishing to speak in person or online, Chair Bibb closed the Public Comments period at 9:07 pm.

## 12. ADJOURNMENT:

Chair Bibb adjourned the Planning Commission meeting on April 11, 2023 at 9:06 pm.
Minutes recorded by Valencia Porter-Henderson, Administrative Programs Specialist.

Barry A. Bibb, Chair<br>Fluvanna County Planning Commission

# PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT 

To: Fluvanna County Planning Commission
Request: B-1, General Business District

From: Douglas Miles, AICP, CZA
District: Columbia Election District

General Information

Applicant:
Representative:
Requested Action:

Existing Zoning:

## Proposed Zoning:

Public Utilities:

This conditional rezoning case request is scheduled to be heard by the Planning Commission as a Public Hearing on Tuesday, May 9, 2023 at 7:00 pm at the Carysbrook Performing Arts Center.

Mark Fontaine / Renaud Consulting
Ann Neil Cosby / Wire Gill Law Firm
ZMP 23:02 Renaud Consulting - A rezoning request to conditionally rezone from A-1, Agricultural, General and I-1, Industrial, Limited to the B-1, Business, General Zoning District with respect to 4.7 +/- acres of Tax Map 5 Section A Parcels 48, 51, part of 52 and part of 53; Tax Map 5A Section 1 Parcel L2 and Tax Map 5A Section 2 Parcels L1 and L1A. The subject properties are located in the southwest quadrant of Richmond Road (Route 250) and James Madison Highway (Route 15) in the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area and the Columbia Election District.

A-1, General Agricultural and I-1, Limited Industrial Zoning Districts

B-1, General Business Zoning District with proffered conditions
Fluvanna County water and wastewater

## Property Proposal:

The proposed Wawa would contain about 6,000 square feet of store space and include nine (9) fuel pumps under an approximately twenty (20) foot tall canopy. A diagram of the Wawa store and conceptual elevations are included with this Application. The exterior of the building would be a combination of brick and manufactured stone veneer with the finishes being earth-tone colors as identified on the Conceptual Elevations that have been submitted with the Application.

Wawa's business operations would be similar to those at Wawa's existing locations in Virginia. The convenience store and fueling services would be open seven (7) days a week and 24 hours a day. In addition to pre-packaged retail items, Wawa sells fresh sandwiches, salads, wraps, snacks and breakfast items that are made to order. Specialty coffees and drinks are also made to order in a similar fashion to a Starbucks retail store that may offer fresh food and hot beverages.

## Transportation Planning:

The Wawa store would be served by two (2) access points, one up on Route 250 which is mostly constructed with an existing right turn lane into the subject property and one on Route 15 to be constructed as generally shown on the Site Plan. The applicant will construct site entrances and any other transportation improvements that are identified as being warranted by the Virginia Department of Transportation VDOT - Louisa Residency Office that covers Fluvanna County.

The Kimley Horn - Richmond office has prepared the Wawa at Zion Crossroads Traffic Study for this proposed commercial use under this conditional rezoning application. Please see the Wawa at Zion Crossroads Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) document dated December 2022 that has been made a part of this Application to help finalize what VDOT road improvements are needed to support this new retail store and upgrade the existing Route 250 and Route 15 intersection area.

## Comprehensive Plan:

In Fluvanna County, commercial growth has occurred outside of the gates of Lake Monticello in the Rivanna Community Planning Area due to both the population density and available water and sewer for such land uses. The 2015 Comprehensive Plan also recognizes the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area and more specifically in the Urban Development Area for commercial and industrial growth and development as available water and sewer is constructed for retail uses like this Wawa Convenience Store that falls under B-1, General Business zoning.

Regarding the Zion Crossroads Area in particular, the Plan states "Zion Crossroads is poised to become the new center of industry and commerce for the county. Its location in relation to existing and proposed infrastructure, an interstate interchange, and the intersection of Routes 250 and 15 make it a logical choice for business development" and Wawa has selected this location.

The Economic Development chapter of the Plan reiterates that Zion Crossroads is the county's primary commercial area and Route 250 is a primary gateway into the County. In this area, land use, transportation and economic development should be balanced in a holistic approach instead of viewing them as individual issues. This conditional rezoning Application addresses land use, transportation, site design, the entrance corridor vision and economic development as equally important parts that are inherent to the Project. The requested B-1 zoning with the proffers and plans that have been included with the Application satisfy the Plan's vision for development in the Zion Crossroads area that promotes the vision and goals of the County's area plan and the Plan overall. Additional commercial development will be constructed at this same site location.

The construction of a Wawa on this Property and the rezoning of the remainder of the Property to B-1 for future development is consistent with the commercial development goals of the Plan and
the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area. Wawa's business operations will serve local residents and interstate travelers and provide a transitional commercial use that will enhance the Zion Crossroads gateway through site design and complementary landscaping and signage in the area. Parcel 4 will be available for development for any of the uses permitted in the B-1 zoning district, subject to compliance with the Proffers, the underlying B-1 zoning regulations and all other applicable County ordinances. The applicant has continued to work with Fluvanna County on making this store to be the same, if not better than the recently completed Wawa in Albemarle County, located on Route 29 at Proffitt Road, which was also constructed by Renaud Consulting.

## Zoning Ordinance:

## B-1 Zoning District:

The applicant has proffered out B-1, General Business by right land uses that include Civic uses: Public recreation assembly and Sheltered care facilities; Commercial uses: Assisted living facilities, Auction houses, Boarding houses, Commercial cemeteries, Flea markets, Parking facilities as a principal use, Recreational vehicle sales and Self-storage facilities and these by Special Use Permit only land uses: Adult entertainment establishments, Adult retail stores, Dormitories, Halfway houses, Lumberyards, Manufactured home sales, Outdoor entertainment, Outdoor gatherings, Outdoor recreational facilities, Railroad facilities and Transportation facilities. These same type uses have been typical uses to be proffered out in other B-1, General Business zoning district conditional rezoning requests that are not appropriate in most areas of Fluvanna County. The applicant intends to specifically retain commercial land uses that relate to retail shopping centers and outparcels and all other B-1 by right land uses that are not specifically excluded in the Proffer Statement for this conditional rezoning case request.

## Neighborhood Meeting:

A Community meeting was held on Thursday, April 27th at 6:00 pm within the Fluvanna County Administration Building - Morris Conference Room. The applicant and the property owners discussed along with the applicant's attorney and site engineer, the proposed Wawa project with the County Administrator, Community Development Director, Economic Development Director, Palmyra Board of Supervisors member and the Columbia Planning Commissioner along with interested County residents. The necessary transportation improvements on Route 15 and Route 250, such as new taper and turn lanes was the main topic of discussion at this Fluvanna County entrance and the fact that up on Route 250 there is an existing right turn lane into this Property.

The Fluvanna County Zoning Ordinance contains Landscaping requirements and Tree Protection options that can be selected by the applicant and his site consultant to provide the proper Buffer and Screening requirements while providing for the required VDOT site distance requirements:

Sec. 22-24-4. - MINIMUM STANDARDS
(A) The following shall be the minimum size of plant materials for site landscaping installation:
(1) Large shade trees- $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ caliper
(2) Medium shade trees- 1.25 " caliper
(3) Ornamental trees-1.25" caliper (4) Evergreen trees-5' in height
(5) Shrubs-18" in height
(6) Ground cover-1 year plants
(B) All required landscaping shall be planted according to the following standards:
(1) All trees to be planted shall meet the American Standard for nursery stock published by the American Nursery and Landscape Association.
(2) The planting of trees shall be done in accordance with either the standardized landscape specifications jointly adopted by the Virginia Nursery and Landscape Association and the Virginia Society of Landscape Designers, or the Road and Bridge Specifications of the Virginia Department of Transportation.
(3) All required landscaping shall be planted between September 15 and June 30, provided that the ground is not frozen. (Ord. 8-1-12; Ord. 12-16-15)

Sec. 22-24-7. - SCREENING
(A) Screening shall be required in the following instances:
(1) Commercial and industrial uses shall be screened from view of adjacent properties in residential and agricultural zoning districts, except for commercial and industrial uses allowed by right in said districts.
(2) Parking lots, consisting of five (5) spaces or more, shall be screened from view of public roads, rights-of-way, and adjacent properties.
(3) Objectionable features, including but not limited to the following, shall be screened from the view of public roads, rights-of-way, and adjacent properties: i. Loading areas. ii. Refuse areas. iii. Storage yards. iv. Dry detention ponds. v. Maintenance areas.
(4) If the required screening is consistent with an approved Master Plan and is subject to the requirements of the R-3, Residential Planned Community zoning district.
(5) The Zoning Administrator may require the screening of any use, or portion thereof, upon determination that the use would otherwise have a direct negative visual impact on a property designated as historic by its inclusion within the Historic Preservation chapter of the approved Comprehensive Plan.
(B) When required, screening shall consist of the new plantings, existing vegetation, an opaque masonry wall or wooden fence, or combination thereof, to the reasonable satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.
(C) Within commercial, industrial, and multi-family residential developments, dumpsters and other refuse areas visible from public roads, rights-of-way, adjacent properties, and parking areas shall be completely screened from view by a wall or fence constructed using architectural block, brick, stone, vinyl, wood or a similar material that is compatible with the architecture of the principal structure. The use of durable, low-maintenance materials is encouraged.

## Suggested Motion:

I move that the Planning Commission recommends (Approval/denial/deferral) of ZMP 23:02 a rezoning request to conditionally rezone from A-1, Agricultural, General and I-1, Industrial, Limited to the B-1, Business, General Zoning District with respect to $4.7+/-$ acres of Tax Map 5 Section A Parcels 48, 51, part of 52 and part of 53; Tax Map 5A Section 1 Parcel L2 and Tax Map 5A Section 2 Parcels L1 and L1A along with the proffers dated April 3, 2023.

## Attachments:

Application and Statement of Proffers
Adjacent Property Owner Letter \& Map
Applicant Community Meeting Letter
Kimley-Horn Traffic Study \& Exhibits
B-1 Zoning District land use summary

Sec. 22-9-1. - Statement of intent.
Generally, this district covers those areas of the County as defined by the Comprehensive Plan that are intended for the conduct of general business to which the public requires direct and frequent access, but which is not characterized either by constant heavy trucking other than stocking and delivery of light retail goods, or by any nuisance factors other than occasioned by incidental light and noise of congregation of people and passenger vehicles.

Sec. 22-9-2. - Use regulations.
In Business, General, District B-1, structures to be erected or land to be used shall be for one (1) or more of the following uses, together with ordinary and necessary accessory uses, and no others. (Ord. 12-1615)

Sec. 22-9-2.1. - Uses permitted by right.
The following uses shall be permitted by right:

## Civic Uses

Amusements, public
Cultural services
Public recreation assembly
Public uses

Religious assembly
Sheltered care facilities

## Commercial Uses

Assisted living facilities

## Auction houses

Automobile repair service establishments
Automobile sales
Bakeries
Bed and breakfasts

## Boarding houses

Brewpub
Butcher shops

Car washes

## Cemeteries, commercial

Communications service
Corporate offices
Daycare centers
Emergency centers
Event facilities

Financial institutions

Flea markets
Funeral homes

Garden center

Gas stations
Greenhouses, commercial
Grocery stores

Guidance services
Hospitals
Hotels

Indoor entertainment

Indoor recreation facilities

Laundries
Machinery sales and service
Marinas, commercial
Medical clinics
Microbreweries

Nursing homes
Offices

## Parking facilities

Personal improvement services
Personal service establishments
Pharmacies
Professional schools
Recreational vehicle sales
Restaurants, fast food
Restaurants, general
Restaurants, small
Retail stores, general
Retail stores, large-scale
Retail stores, neighborhood convenience
Retail stores, specialty
Self-storage facilities
Shooting ranges, indoor
Studios, fine arts
Taxidermists
Vending carts
Veterinary offices

Miscellaneous Uses
Accessory uses
Small scale solar generation facility
Utilities, minor
(Ord. 3-15-06; Ord. 11-20-07; Ord. 9-17-08; Ord. 10-21-09; Ord. 11-3-10; Ord. 11-20-12; Ord 9-15-21; Ord 8-17-22)

Sec. 22-9-2.2. - Uses permitted by special use permit only.
The following uses shall be permitted by special use permit only:
Civic Uses
Educational facilities
Public assembly
Commercial Uses
Amusements, commercial
Dance halls
Entertainment establishments, adult
Halfway houses
Kennels, commercial
Landscaping materials supply
Laundromats
Lodges
Manufactured home sales
Qutdoor entertainment

## Qutdoor recreation facilities

Retailstores, adult
Transportation terminals
Vehicle impound facilities
Industrial Uses
Contractor's storage yards
tumberyards
Machine shops
Railroad facilities
Research laboratories
Miscellaneous Uses
Qutdoor gatherings

Telecommunication facilities
Utilities, major

## Residential Uses

## Dormitories

(Ord. 3-15-06; Ord. 11-20-07; Ord. 9-17-08; Ord. 10-21-09; Ord. 11-3-10; Ord. 11-20-12)

Sec. 22-9-3. - Requirements for permitted uses.
All buildings, structures and uses in the B-1 District shall be subject to the provisions of Article 23: Site Development Plans of this Code. (Ord. 12-16-15)

## Sec. 22-9-4. - Area regulations.

None, except for permitted uses utilizing individual sewerage disposal system. The required area for any such use shall be approved by the administrator who may consult with the health official.

Sec. 22-9-5. - Setback regulations.
(A)Buildings shall be located not less than fifty feet (50') from any public right-of-way. This shall be known as the "setback line." All parking lots shall be located not less than twenty-five feet ( $25^{\prime}$ ) from any public right-of-way.(B)A variation to the setback regulations may be granted by the Planning Commission for projects in a designated growth area that meet new urban/neo-traditional planning principles, and further the objectives and goals set forth in the comprehensive plan. Appeals must be received in writing within thirty (30) days of the variation decision, and will then be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for a final determination. (Ord. 5-4-11)

Sec. 22-9-6. - Yard regulations.
The minimum yard requirements for permitted uses adjoining or adjacent to a residential or agricultural district shall be fifty feet (50'). All parking lots and accessory uses shall be located not less than twentyfive feet (25') from any residential or agricultural district.

Sec. 22-9-7. - Height regulations.
Buildings may be erected up to forty-five feet (45') in height from grade, except that:
(A)A public or semi-public building such as a school, place of worship, library, hotel and general hospital may be erected to a height of sixty feet ( 60 ') from grade provided that required front, side and rear yard each shall be increased one foot ( $1^{\prime}$ ) for each foot in height over forty-five feet ( $45^{\prime}$ ). (B)Spires, belfries, cupolas, monuments, water towers, chimneys, flues, flagpoles, television antennae and radio aerials sixty feet ( $60^{\prime}$ ) limit. Parapet walls may be up to four feet (4') above the height of the building on which the walls rest.

Sec. 22-9-8. - Off-street parking.
Off-street parking shall conform with Article 26: Off-Street Parking and Loading Spaces of this chapter.
Sec. 22-9-9. - Sign regulations.
Sign regulations shall conform to Article 15 of this chapter.
Sec. 22-9-10. - Sidewalks.
Sidewalks that comply with the most recent VDOT specifications shall be required on both sides of all roadways, public and private. (Ord. 5-4-11)

April 18, 2023

## M. Ann Neil Cosby ancosby@wiregill.com 804-447-0171

APR 202023


## Fluvanna County <br> Planning Dept

Dear Neighbor:
On behalf of Renaud Consulting (the "Company"), I am writing to invite you and other residents to an informational community meeting to learn about the Company's proposed rezoning of approximately 4.7 acres (the "Property") at the intersection of Route 250 (Richmond Road), and Route 15 (James Madison Highway), for development of a Wawa convenience store and gas station on a portion of the Property. The Property is currently zoned either Agricultural (A-1) or Industrial (I-1), and the Company is requesting rezoning to Business, General (B-1).

The construction of a Wawa on this Property and the rezoning of the remainder of the Property to B-1 for future development is consistent with the commercial development goals of the Plan and the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area. Wawa's business operation on the Property would be substantially similar to those at other locations in Virginia. The convenience store and fueling services would be open seven (7) days a week, 24 hours per day. The site would be served by two points of access constructed to standards established by the Virginia Department of Transportation. A county-approved landscaping plan suitable for the entrance corridor in which the Property is located would also be required.

We hope you can join us:
Thursday, April 27, 2023
Doors open at 6:00 p.m., presentation to begin at 6:30 p.m. Fluvanna County Administration Building (Morris Room)

132 Main Street
Palmyra, VA 22963
Should you have any questions or like any additional information regarding this zoning case please contact me at (804) 447-0171, or ancosby@wiregill.com.

Sincerely,

M. Ann Neil Cosby

## County of Fluvanna

"Responsive \& Responsible Government"

## PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

## April 28, 2023

## RE: ZMP 23:02 Renaud Consulting / Tax Map 5 Section A Parcels 48, 51, 52 and 53; Tax Map 5A Section 2 Parcels L1 and L1A; and Tax Map 5A Section 1 Parcel L2

This is to notify you that the Fluvanna County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on:

Meeting: Planning Commission Regular Meeting<br>Date: $\quad$ Tuesday, May 9, 2023 at 7:00 pm<br>Location: Carysbrook Performing Arts Center 8880 James Madison Highway Fork Union, VA 23055

ZMP 23:02 Renaud Consulting - A rezoning request to conditionally rezone from A-1, Agricultural, General and I-1, Industrial, Limited to the B-1, Business, General Zoning District with respect to $4.7+/-$ acres of Tax Map 5 Section A Parcels 48, 51, part of 52 and part of 53; Tax Map 5A Section 1 Parcel L2 and Tax Map 5A Section 2 Parcels L1 and L1A. The subject properties are located in the southwest quadrant of Richmond Road (Route 250) and James Madison Highway (Route 15) in the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area and the Columbia Election District.

Please be advised that you can attend the meeting in person, join the meeting via Zoom or by a phone call where you will have an opportunity to provide any Public comments. Instructions for participation in the Public Hearings will be available on the County's website along with the Meeting Agenda and Staff Reports.

You can contact the Fluvanna County Planning \& Community Development Department, 8:00 am $-5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$, Monday through Friday. If you have any questions regarding this application or the scheduled public hearing, then please contact me at dmiles@fluvannacounty.org or call me at 434.591.1910 with questions. We have included a site location map of all the subject properties.

Sincerely,

## Douglas Miles

Douglas Miles, AICP, CZA
Community Development Director

## County of Fluvanna

"Responsive \& Responsible Government"

## MEMORANDUM

| Date: | April 28, 2023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Valencia Porter |
| To: | Douglas Miles |
| Subject: | APO Memo Complete |

Please be advised the attached letter went out to the attached list of Adjacent Property Owners for the May 9, 2023 Planning Commission meeting.

## ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS ZMP 23:02



Owner of Record: Margi Irene Glass, Trust (Margi I. Glass, Trustee) \& Rita S. Glass

Address: 706 Bybee Road, Louisa, VA 23090

Phone: $\qquad$ Fax:

Email:

## Representative:M. Ann Neil Cosby, Esq. Wire Gill LLP

Address: 9200 Forest Hill Ave., Ste. C-1, Richmond VA 23235

Phone: 804.447.0171 Fax:
Emailancosby@wiregill.com
$5 A-1-L 2$ ANC
Tax Map and Parcel(s) 5-A-53, 5-A-52, 5-A-51,5-2-4+ 5A-2-L1A; 5A-2-L1 \& 5-A-48
Acreage approximately 4.7 Current Zoning A-1 or I-1
Location of Parcel: Intersection of Routes 15 and 250

Applicant of Record:

Renau dConsul ting diMark Fo biine CCIM Address:

8605 Westwood Center Drive, Suite 410, Vienna, VA 22182
Phone: 571.765.4436 ine Email: mfountain@renaudconsulting.net


Requested Zoning B-1 Proposed Use of Property . Gas station/retail store on 3.41 acres/remainder retained for future development

## Affidavit to Accompany Petition for Rezoning

 By signing this application, the undersigned owner/applicant authorizes entry onto the property by CountyEmployees, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors during the normal discharge of their duties in regard to this request.I/We, being duly sworn, depose and say that we are Owner/Contract Owner of the property involved in this application and that we have familiarized ourselves with the rules and regulations of the Zoning Ordinance with respect to preparing and filing this application, and that the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information on the attached map to the best of our ability present the argument on behalf of the application herewith requested and that the statements and information above referred to are in all respects true and correct to the best of our knowledge.


## Application for Rezoning (Appendix)

Parcel Deed Book and Page numbers:

Parcel 1: Tax Map No 5-A-53: Deed Book 694, Page 909 \& Deed Book 45, Page 463 (Plat)
Parcel 2: Tax Map No 5-A-52: Deed Book 910, Page 700 \& Deed Book 45, Page 463 (Plat)
Parcel 3: Tax Map No 5-A-51: Deed Book 910, Page 700
Parcel 4: Tax Map No 5A-2-L1: Deed Book 910, Page 700 \& Deed Book 576, Page 821 (Plat)
Parcel 5: Tax Map No 5A-2-L1A: Deed Book 95, Page 344
Parcel 6: Tax Map No 5A-1-L2: Deed Book 45, Page 283 (Plat)
Parcel 7: Tax Map No 5-A-48: Deed Book 910, Page 700 \& Deed Book 57, Page 382 (Plat)


COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
COUNTY OF FLUVANNA
Public Hearing Sign Deposit

Name:
M. Ann Neil Cosby, Wire Gill LLP

Address:
9200 Forest Hill Ave., Suite C-1
City:

State:
Richmond
Virginia

Zip Code: 23235

I hereby certify that the sign issued to me is my responsibility while in my possession. Incidents which cause damage, theft, or destruction of these signs will cause a partial or full forfeiture of this deposit.

*Number of signs depends on number of roadways property adjoins.



## Commonwealth of Virginia

## County of Fluvanna

## Rezoning Application Checklist

The following information shall be submitted with the application and is to be provided by the applicant for the processing of the application:

| Applicant must supply | Staff Checklist |
| :--- | :--- |
| Completed Rezoning Application signed by the <br> current owner(s) or lessee or written confirmation <br> from the current owner or lessee granting the right <br> to submit the application |  |
| - Statement on proposed use of property <br> and reason for rezoning |  |
| - $\quad$Ten (10) copies of plats showing existing <br> and proposed improvements (if <br> applicable) |  |
| - $\quad$ Deed restrictions (if applicable) |  |
| - $\quad$Copy of the Tax Map showing the site <br> (preferred) |  |
| - General Location Map (preferred) |  |
| Supporting photographs are not required, but <br> suggested for evidence |  |

All maps and plans submitted are to be either 8.5 "x 11 " or 11 "x 17 ". One original of any size may be for staff use at the public hearing.

| Staff Only | Siaff Checklist |
| :--- | :--- |
| Preliminary review by planning staff for completeness and content: |  |
| - Technical Review Committee review and comment |  |
| - Determine all adjacent property owners |  |
| - Placed as a Public Hearing on the next available agenda of the Planning |  |
| Commission. |  |
| Notification of the scheduled Public Hearing to the following: |  |
| - Applicant |  |
| - All adjacent property owners |  |
| Staff Report to include, but not be limited to: |  |
| - General information regarding theapplication |  |
| - Any information concerning utilities ortransportation |  |
| - Consistency with good planningpractices |  |
| - Consistency with the comprehensive plan |  |
| - Consistency with adjacent land use |  |
| - Any detriments to the health, safety and welfare of the community. |  |

## For Applicant

The Rezoning Application fee is made payable to the County of Fluvanna.

## Meetings for the processing of the application

Applications must be submitted by the first working day of the month to have the process start that month. Applications received after the first working day will have the process start the following month.

## Process:

1. Placed on next available Technical Review Committee Agenda.
2. Placed as a Public Hearing on the next available agenda of the Planning Commission the following month. Staff Report and Planning Commission recommendation forwarded to the Board.
3. Placed as a Public Hearing on the next available agenda of the Board of Supervisors (usually the same month as the Planning Commission).

## Applicant or a representative must appear at the scheduled hearings.

The Technical Review Committee provides a professional critique of the application and plans. The Planning Commission may recommend to the Board of Supervisors: approval; approval subject to resubmittal or correction; or denial of the special use permit.

## Board Actions

After considering all relevant information from the applicant and the public, the Board will deliberate on points addressed in the Staff Report.

The Board may approve; deny; or defer the request pending further consideration; or remand the case back to the Planning Commission for further consideration.

With approval, the development may proceed.
If denied, an appeal to the Courts may be prescribed by law
No similar request for a Rezoning for the same use at the same site may be made within one year after the denial.

## OWNER'S WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION

I, Rita S. Glass, am the current owner of those certain parcels of land located in whole or in part in Fluvanna County, Virginia (the "County"), identified as Tax Map Numbers 5A-2-L1 \& 5A-2-L2 (collectively, the "Property"). I hereby authorize Renaud Consulting (the "Applicant"), its successors, agents, and representatives, to act on my behalf to file for and seek a rezoning of the Property from the County to allow the proposed development of a gas station and associated retail store on a portion of the Property and to retain a portion of the remainder of the Property for future commercial development. The Applicant is authorized to act on all matters concerning the rezoning request, including but not limited to the execution of proffered conditions related to and/or restricting the use of the Property.

Date:


Name:


## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA countricity of Charlotte) isle

## 29 tit

$t^{\text {The foregoing authorization was subscribed, sworn to, and acknowledged before me this }}$ day of APVI , 2023 before me by $\qquad$ , Rita S. Glass.


OWNER'S WRITIEN AUTHORIZATION

I, Margie Irene Glass, am the Trustee of the "Margie Irene Glass Trust" (the "Trust"), and am authorized to act on the Trust's behalf. The Trust is the current owner of those certain parcels of land located in whole or in part in Fluvanna County, Virginia (the "County"), identified as Tax Map Numbers 5-A-53, 5-A-52, 5-A-51, \& 5-2-L1 (collectively, the "Property"). I hereby authorize Renaud Consulting (the "Applicant"), its successors, agents, and representatives, to act on my behalf to file for and seek a rezoning of the Property from the County to allow the proposed development of a gas station and associated retail store on a portion of the Property and to retain a portion of the remainder of the Property for future commercial development. The Applicant is authorized to act on all matters concerning the rezoning request, including but not limited to the execution of proffered conditions related to and/or restricting the use of the Property.


COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA countycityof Charlottesulle

The foregoing authorization was subscribed, sworn to, and acknowledged before me this $2{ }^{29}$ day of $\qquad$ , aes beomenemer margie I. GJas Margie I Glass.


My Commission Expixes: 7805055 Registration Number: de/30/2026 mas


## Narrative Statement

## I. Introduction.

Renaud Consulting (the "Applicant") is requesting that the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") of Fluvanna County (the "County") rezone identified portions of Tax Map 5-A-53, 5-A-52, 5-A-51, 5-A-48, 5A-2-L1, 5A-2-L1A and 5A-1-L2 (the "Property") to Business, General (B-1), to permit the development of a Wawa convenience store and gas station (the "Project") on a portion of the Property, and to retain the remainder of the Property for future development. The Property contains approximately 4.7 acres and is located at the intersection of Route 250 (Richmond Road), and Route 15 (James Madison Highway). The parcels that comprise the Property are zoned either Agricultural (A-1) or Industrial (I-1), and are currently owned by R.G. Glass (the "Owner"). ${ }^{1}$ A "Vicinity Map" and "Arial", showing the location of the Property, as well as a "Site Plan" showing the development of Parcel 3, are included with the plans entitled "Wawa and Zion Crossroads" (the "Concept Plan") prepared by Kimley Horn, dated March 29, 2023. The Concept Plan is included as part of this Application.

## II. Description of Proposed Use \& Improvements on Parcel 3

The proposed Wawa would contain approximately 6,000 square feet of store space and include nine (9) fuel pumps under an approximately twenty (20) foot tall canopy. A diagram of the Wawa store and conceptual elevations are included with the Application. The store's exterior would be a combination of brick and manufactured stone veneer. Finishes would be earth-town colors as identified on the Conceptual Elevations.

Wawa's business operations on the Parcel 3 would be substantially similar to those at the company's existing locations in Virginia. The convenience store and fueling services would be open seven (7) days a week 24 hours per day. In addition to packaged retail items, Wawa sells fresh sandwiches, salads, wraps, snacks, etc., that are made to order. Specialty coffees and drinks are also made to order.

The Wawa would be served by two (2) access points, one on Route 250 and one on Route 15 as generally shown on the Site Plan. The Applicant will construct entrances and any other transportation improvements that are identified as being warranted by the Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT"). Landscaping will be installed as generally shown on the Landscaping Plan included with the Application. The Applicant will work with the County to include landscaping and signage that is suitable for the entrance corridor in which the Property is located.

The Facility is expected to have approximately fifty (50) employees and will generate additional tax revenue for the County. As there is public water and sewer currently available to

[^0]the Property, no additional extensions of service will be required. The Project's fiscal benefits will exceed any external costs.

## III. Conformance with Comprehensive Plan

## A. Land Use and Community Design

In Fluvanna County, commercial and industrial growth has centered around Zion Crossroads (as well as Lake Monticello). The County's 2015 Comprehensive Plan (the "Plan") recognizes "these areas are ideally situated to attract more development." Regarding Zion Crossroad in particular, the Plan states "Zion Crossroads is poised to become the new center of industry and commerce for the county. Its location in relation to existing and proposed infrastructure, an interstate interchange, and the intersection of Routes 250 and 15 make it a logical choice for business development." ${ }^{3}$

The Property is centrally located within the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area which is "envisioned to be the most intensely developed part of the county..." This area "is the county's primary regional economic development area and is targeted as a regional employment center with primarily mixed-use, mixed-income development. ${ }^{4}$

The construction of a Wawa on this Property and the rezoning of the remainder of the Property to B-1 for future development is consistent with the commercial development goals of the Plan and the Zion Crossroads Community Planning Area. Wawa's business operations will serve local residents and interstate travelers and provide a transitional commercial use that will enhance the Zion Crossroads gateway through site design and complementary landscaping and signage in the area. Parcel 4 will be available for development for any of the uses permitted in the B-1 zoning district, subject to compliance with the Proffers, the underlying B-1 zoning regulations and all other applicable County ordinances.

## B. Economic Development

The Economic Development chapter of the Plan reiterates that Zion Crossroads is the county's primary commercial node and Route 250 is a primary gateway into the County. ${ }^{5}$ In this area, land use, transportation and economic development should be balanced "in a holistic approach instead of viewing them as individual issues...". ${ }^{6}$ This rezoning Application addresses land use, transportation, site design, entrance corridor vision and economic development as equally important parts that are inherent to the Project. The requested B-1 zoning with the

[^1]proffers and plans that have been included with the Application satisfy the Plan's vision for development in the Zion Crossroads area that promotes the vision and goals of the County's area plan and the Plan overall.

## PROFFER STATEMENT

The Owner proffers the zoning conditions listed herein (the "Proffers") which will be applied to the Property if this rezoning application is approved by the Fluvanna County, Virginia, Board of Supervisors ("Board"). The Owner acknowledges that these conditions are voluntary and reasonable in accordance with Sections 15.2-2302, 15.2-2298 and 15.2-2303, et al. of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended. The term "Owner" will include all current and future owners, assignees and/or successors in interest of the Property. As used herein, the "Property" means the portions of Tax Map 5-A-53, 5-A-52, 5-A-51, 5-A-48, 5A-2-L1, 5A-2-L1A and 5A-1-L2 identified as Parcel 3 and Parcel 4 on the Parcel Exhibit included with the plan entitled "Wawa and Zion Crossroads" (the "Concept Plan") prepared by Kimley Horn, dated March 29, 2023, and shall apply to any separate parcel created from such parcels. These Proffers shall not apply to any portion of Tax Map 5-A-53, 5-A-52, 5-A-51, 5-A-48, 5A-2-L1, 5A-2-L1A and 5A-1-L2, that are not included in Parcel 3 or Parcel 4 on the Parcel Exhibit and not specifically included in this rezoning application.

## I. Proffers Applicable to the entire Property.

## A. Excluded Uses

1. The following permitted by right land uses shall be excluded from the Property under B-1 Zoning Section 22-9-2.1:
a. Civic Uses: Public recreation assembly, Sheltered care facilities
b. Commercial Uses: Assisted living facilities; Auction houses; Boarding houses; Cemeteries, commercial, Flea Markets, Parking facilities (as a principal use); Recreational vehicle sales; and Self-storage facilities
2. The following permitted by special use permit land uses shall be excluded from the Property under B-1 Zoning Section 22-9-2.2:
a. Commercial Uses: Entertainment establishments, adult; Halfway houses; Manufactured home sales; Outdoor entertainment; Outdoor recreational facilities; Retail stores, adult; and Transportation terminals.
b. Industrial Uses: Lumberyards; Railroad facilities
c. Miscellaneous Uses: Outdoor gatherings.'
d. Residential Uses: Dormitories

## II. Proffers Applicable to Parcel 3.

A. Site Development. Parcel 3 will be developed in general conformance with the Concept Plan, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, provided that all uses, improvements, infrastructure and other site features shall be identified at the time of final site plan approval to address final design requirements, final engineering and compliance with the requirements of state and federal agency regulations, the County's Zoning Ordinance, Subdivision Ordinance, and other applicable local land use requirements. The building, fueling areas and canopy will be
developed consistent with the elevations prepared by Cuhaci Peterson, dated April 26, 2023, for proposed Store \#6605, and the canopy will be developed consistent with the elevations prepared by Cuhaci Peterson, dated and March 2, 2023 (collectively, the "Conceptual Elevations"), which are attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Dumpsters shall be screened as shown on the Trash Compound elevation included with the Conceptual Elevations.
B. Landscaping. Landscaping shall be installed consistent with the Landscaping Exhibit prepared by Kimley Horn, dated March 29, 2023, provided that the final location and type of landscaping will be identified at the time of final site plan approval and include any waiver(s) approved by the Director of Planning.

## OWNER'S WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION

I, Rita S. Glass, am the current owner of those certain parcels of land located in whole or in part in Fluvanna County, Virginia (the "County"), identified as Tax Map Numbers 5A-2-L1 \& 5A-2-L2 (collectively, the "Property"). I hereby authorize Renaud Consulting (the "Applicant"), its successors, agents, and representatives, to act on my behalf to file for and seek a rezoning of the Property from the County to allow the proposed development of a gas station and associated retail store on a portion of the Property and to retain a portion of the remainder of the Property for future commercial development. The Applicant is authorized to act on all matters concerning the rezoning request, including but not limited to the execution of proffered conditions related to and/or restricting the use of the Property.

Date:


Name:


## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA countricity of Charlotte) isle

## 29 tit

$t^{\text {The foregoing authorization was subscribed, sworn to, and acknowledged before me this }}$ day of APVI , 2023 before me by $\qquad$ , Rita S. Glass.


OWNER'S WRITIEN AUTHORIZATION

I, Margie Irene Glass, am the Trustee of the "Margie Irene Glass Trust" (the "Trust"), and am authorized to act on the Trust's behalf. The Trust is the current owner of those certain parcels of land located in whole or in part in Fluvanna County, Virginia (the "County"), identified as Tax Map Numbers 5-A-53, 5-A-52, 5-A-51, \& 5-2-L1 (collectively, the "Property"). I hereby authorize Renaud Consulting (the "Applicant"), its successors, agents, and representatives, to act on my behalf to file for and seek a rezoning of the Property from the County to allow the proposed development of a gas station and associated retail store on a portion of the Property and to retain a portion of the remainder of the Property for future commercial development. The Applicant is authorized to act on all matters concerning the rezoning request, including but not limited to the execution of proffered conditions related to and/or restricting the use of the Property.


COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA countycityof Charlottesulle

The foregoing authorization was subscribed, sworn to, and acknowledged before me this $2{ }^{29}$ day of $\qquad$ , aes beomenemer margie I. GJas Margie I Glass.


My Commission Expixes: 7805055 Registration Number: de/30/2026 mas
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## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) documented in this report evaluates the traffic impacts of the proposed Wawa fuel station with convenience market to be located in the southwest corner of the intersection of Route 250 (Three Notch Road) at Route 15 (James Madison Highway) in Fluvanna/Louisa County, Virginia. The site proposed for development is currently zoned commercial and agricultural. The proposed fuel station with convenience market will have 18 fuel pumps and an approximately 6,049 square-foot convenience market. A site plan of the proposed development is included in Appendix A. Access to the proposed development will be provided via one (1) full access driveway along Three Notch Road just west of James Madison Highway (west project driveway) and one (1) full access driveway located along James Madison Highway just south of Three Notch Road (south project driveway). It is anticipated that the construction for the proposed development will be completed by 2024.

Traffic operations were analyzed at the intersections identified below during the AM and PM peak hours using Synchro $11^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and SIDRA Intersection 8 software for the Existing 2022, Projected No-Build 2030, and Projected Build 2030 conditions. To project future traffic volumes for the no-build and build conditions, a linear growth rate of $1.00 \%$ was established based on historic growth trends from VDOT Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) publications and based on other area studies. The following intersections were analyzed in this traffic impact analysis:

1. Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and Route 15 (James Madison Highway)
2. Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and Route 615 (Zion Road)

The results of the traffic analysis indicate that all intersection approaches and movements operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hours under existing, 2030 no-build, and 2030 build conditions. Note that the intersection of Route 250 (Three Notch Road) at Route 15 (James Madison Highway) is proposed to be converted to a roundabout in the future as part of the submitted SMART SCALE application ID: 7193 which is awaiting funding. All movements and approaches at the proposed single-lane hybrid roundabout are expected to operate at LOS C or better during the AM and PM peak hours under 2030 build conditions.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for all vehicle movements at all study intersections. Based on the queue analysis results, all queues are expected to be accommodated within the existing turn lanes at all intersections under existing 2022, 2030 no-build, and 2030 build conditions. Additionally, queues for all through movements are projected to have adequate space between adjacent intersections. Overall, the intersection capacity and queue analysis results indicate that the study intersections will not be adversely impacted by project traffic.

Access to the proposed development will be provided via one (1) full access driveway along Three Notch Road just west of James Madison Highway (west project driveway) and one (1) full access driveway located along James Madison Highway just south of Three Notch Road (south project driveway). The proposed full access driveways are within spacing requirements from the VDOT Road Design Manual Appendix F and will not require an access waiver. Right and left-turn lane warrant analyses were conducted at both of the proposed full access driveway entrances. Based on the results of these analyses, a right and left-turn lane are warranted at the proposed full access west project driveway and the south project driveway.

## 2 INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 PURPOSE

Kimley-Horn has performed a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) to evaluate the traffic impacts of the proposed Wawa fuel station with convenience market to be located in the southwest corner of the intersection of Route 250 (Three Notch Road) at Route 15 (James Madison Highway) in Fluvanna/Louisa County, Virginia. Proposed fuel station with convenience market will have 18 fuel pumps and an approximately 6,049 squarefoot convenience market. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the future impacts of the proposed development traffic on the surrounding roadway network and to identify mitigation measures to accommodate the proposed development, if needed.

### 2.2 METHODOLOGY

In preparation of the TIA, Kimley-Horn coordinated with Fluvanna and Louisa County and VDOT staff to receive concurrence on the scope of the study, the limits of the study area, and the proposed analysis methodology which is provided for reference in the pre-scoping form contained in Appendix B. As determined through coordination, study area intersections included the following:

1. Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and Route 15 (James Madison Highway)
2. Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and Route 615 (Zion Road)

Intersection analyses performed using Synchro $11^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and Sidra Intersection 8 software included an evaluation of the Existing 2022, Projected No-Build 2030, and Projected Build 2030 conditions. Existing conditions represent the roadway network geometry and traffic volumes at the time of this study. No-build conditions represent the future roadway network prior to the completion of the proposed project. Build conditions represent the future roadway network with the proposed project.

### 2.3 PROJECT AREA

The proposed project is located in the southwest corner of the intersection of Route 250 (Three Notch Road) at Route 15 (James Madison Highway) in Fluvanna/Louisa County, Virginia as shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1: Study Area


### 2.4 DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION

The site proposed for development is currently zoned commercial and agricultural. The project is proposing to development a Wawa fuel station with convenience market. Consistent with the proposed land use and VDOT guidance, Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition Land use code (LUC) 960 (Super Convenience Market/Gas Station) was used for the purposes of this study. Detailed trip generation calculations can be found in Appendix C.

## 3 TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

### 3.1 METHODOLOGY

The traffic operational analysis performed for this study using Synchro $11^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and Sidra Intersection 8 was conducted in accordance with VDOT's Traffic Operations Safety Analysis Manual (TOSAM) for deterministic, intersection capacity analyses. Consistent with prior coordination with Fluvanna and Louisa County and VDOT staff, queueing analyses applying Synchro $11^{\text {tM }} 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues were also performed. Existing signal timings provided by VDOT were used in the development of the Synchro models.

The evaluation of traffic operations within the study area was comprised of an intersection capacity level of service (LOS) analysis during the AM and PM peak hours as well as a queueing analysis. As part of the traffic operational analysis, the projected no-build conditions served as the baseline for evaluating intersection delays and identifying mitigation measures under the build conditions.

Intersection capacity defines the volume of traffic that can be accommodated by an intersection at a specified LOS. Capacity is affected by various geometric factors including roadway type (e.g., divided or undivided), number of lanes, lane widths, and grades. LOS, which is a measure of the degree of congestion, ranges from LOS A (free flowing) to LOS F (a congested, forced flow condition). Delay, measured in seconds per vehicle, and the associated LOS thresholds for signalized and unsignalized intersections based on HCM methodologies are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Intersection Level of Service (LOS) Analysis Criteria

| Level <br> of Service <br> (LOS) | Average Control Delay per <br> Vehicle (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ | No delays at intersections with continuous flow traffic. Uncongested operations; <br> high frequency of long gaps available for all left and right-turning traffic; no <br> observable queues. |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ | Description of Traffic Conditions |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ | Moderate delays at intersections with satisfactory to good traffic flow. Light <br> congestion; infrequent backups on critical approaches. |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ | Increased probability of delays along every approach. Significant congestion on <br> critical approaches, but intersection functional. No long-standing lines formed. |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ | Heavy traffic flow condition. Heavy delays probable. No available gaps for <br> cross-street traffic or main street turning traffic. Limit of stable flow. |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ | Unstable traffic flow. Heavy congestion. Traffic moves in forced flow condition. <br> Average delays greater than one minute highly probable. Total breakdown. |

### 3.2 KEY ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions were made as part of the traffic operational analysis:
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- All intersections used the collected peak hour factor (PHF) data for the existing condition. For the no-build and build condition, all intersections in accordance with TOSAM, used a PHF of 0.88 if it was higher than the collected peak hour factor data.
- All intersections were analyzed with the collected heavy vehicle percentages at each individual movement for the existing, no-build, and build condition.
- All signalized intersections were evaluated using HCM 2000, unsignalized intersections were evaluated using HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition, and roundabouts were analyzed using SIDRA software.


## 4 EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 4.1 EXISTING ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

Roadway characteristics including geometry and posted speed limits for roadway facilities adjacent to the proposed development are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Existing Roadway Characteristics

| Road | Lanes | Posted <br> Speed (mph) | Functional <br> Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 250 (Three Notch Road) | 2 Lanes Undivided | 45 | Major Collector |
| Route 15 (James Madison Highway) | 2 Lanes Undivided | 45 | Minor Arterial |

Note: Route 250 and Route 15 are 4-lane divided roadways at the signalized intersection, however, are 2-lane undivided roadways outside of the signalized intersection.

### 4.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes were collected on November 3, 2022 (Thursday) at the following identified study area intersections:

1. Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and Route 15 (James Madison Highway)
2. Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and Route 615 (Zion Road)

Peak hour periods were established for the study intersections; based on the turning movement count data, the following peak hours were determined:

- AM Peak Hour 7:30 AM to 8:30 AM
- PM Peak Hour 4:00 PM to 5:00 PM

Existing turning movement counts are shown in Figure 2. Traffic count data is provided in Appendix D.
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### 4.3 EXISTING CONDITIONS INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

During the AM and PM peak hours, all intersection approaches and movements operate at LOS D or better. The control delay and LOS results are summarized in Table 4 following the analysis sections. The intersection capacity analysis results are included in Appendix E.

### 4.4 EXISTING CONDITIONS $95{ }^{\text {TH }}$ QUEUING ANALYSIS

Existing $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for all vehicle movements at all study intersections using Synchro 11 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software. Based on the queue analysis results, all queues are expected to be accommodated within the existing turn lanes at all intersections. Additionally, queues for all through movements are projected to have adequate space between adjacent intersections.

The queue results are summarized in Table 6 following the analysis sections. The queueing analysis results are included in Appendix E.

## 5 PROJECTED NO-BUILD CONDITIONS

The projected no-build conditions represent the future roadway network and background traffic growth without the addition of the proposed development traffic. No other planned developments were identified to be included in the projected no-build conditions for this study.

### 5.1 TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE

During the scoping meeting with Fluvanna and Louisa County and VDOT staff, a linear growth rate of 1.0\% was agreed upon to be applied to all study intersections. The scoping form is provided in Appendix B. Existing traffic volumes were growth to the year 2030 (build-out year $2024+6$ years). No-build 2030 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are summarized in Figure 3.
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### 5.22030 NO-BUILD CONDITIONS INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

During the AM and PM peak hours, all intersection approaches and movements operate at LOS D or better. The control delay and LOS results are summarized in Table 4 following the analysis sections. The intersection capacity analysis results are included in Appendix E.

### 5.3 2030 NO-BUILD CONDITIONS 95TH QUEUEING ANALYSIS

No-build $203095^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for all vehicle movements at all study intersections using Synchro 11 ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ software. Based on the queue analysis results, all queues are expected to be accommodated within the existing turn lanes at all intersections. Additionally, queues for all through movements are projected to have adequate space between adjacent intersections.

The queue results are summarized in Table 6 following the analysis sections. The queueing analysis results are included in Appendix E.

## 6 PROJECTED BUILD CONDITIONS

The build conditions represent the future roadway network with the addition of background traffic growth and traffic generated by the proposed project. Note that the signalized intersection of James Madison Highway and Three Notch Road is proposed to be converted to a roundabout in the future as part of the submitted SMART SCALE application ID: 7193 which is awaiting funding. Therefore, build conditions at the intersection of James Madison Highway and Three Notch Road were analyzed as both a conventional signalized intersection and as a roundabout using SIDRA Intersection 8 software. A roundabout intersection sketch is included in Appendix G. Access to the proposed development will be provided via one (1) full access driveway (west project driveway) along Three Notch Road just west of James Madison Highway and one (1) full access driveway located along James Madison Highway just south of Three Notch Road (south project driveway).

### 6.1 SITE TRIP GENERATION

Traffic projections were estimated for the proposed development based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Table 3 summarizes the expected project trip generation during the AM and PM peak hours. The total trips represent the estimated number of vehicles entering and exiting the proposed development to and from the adjacent roadway network. Note that per guidance provided by Fluvanna and Louisa County and VDOT, the total building square-footage was used to determine the trip generation for the proposed development as the square-foot independent variable estimates a higher trip generation than vehicle fueling positions.

Additionally, pass-by is anticipated during the AM and PM peak hours for the proposed Wawa fuel station with convenience market. Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition supplemental pass-by appendices, Wawa is anticipated to have a pass-by rate of $76 \%$ during the AM peak hour and $75 \%$ during the PM peak hour. Pass-by rates were previously reviewed and agreed upon by Fluvanna and Louisa County and VDOT in the pre-scope form in Appendix B. The expected net new project trips presented in Table 3 represent the gross project trips minus the pass-by trips attributable to the proposed land use. Detailed trip generation calculations have been included in Appendix C.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary

| Description | ITE <br> Code | Intensity | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Super Convenience Market/Gas Station | 960 | 6,049 sf | 503 | 252 | 251 | 419 | 210 | 209 |
| Pass-By Reduction |  |  | 382 | 191 | 191 | 314 | 157 | 157 |
| Net New Trips |  |  | 121 | 61 | 60 | 105 | 53 | 52 |

### 6.2 SITE TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The distribution of traffic at study area intersections, including proposed access locations, was established based on existing traffic patterns and input from Fluvanna and Louisa County and VDOT. The trip distribution is shown in Figure 4. Trip assignment based on the trip distribution is shown in Figure 5. The pass-by distribution and assignment are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7.

The assignment of traffic generated by the site was calculated by applying the distribution percentage for a specific turning movement to the total number of inbound or outbound trips generated to establish the turning movement volume at that location. The resulting build volumes for the AM and PM peak hours are shown in Figure 8. The build 2030 condition traffic volumes were calculated by adding the site generated trips and pass-by trips to the projected no-build 2030 traffic volumes.
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### 6.3 2030 BUILD CONDITIONS INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The intersection of James Madison Highway and Three Notch Road was analyzed as a signalized intersection and as a roundabout under build conditions as there is currently a submitted SMART Scale project which proposes to convert the signalized intersection to a single-lane hybrid roundabout.

During the AM and PM peak hours, all intersection approaches and movements operate at LOS D or better. The control delay and LOS results are summarized in Table 4 following the analysis sections. The intersection capacity analysis results are included in Appendix E.

All approaches and movements at the intersection of James Madison Highway and Three Notch Road are expected to operate a LOS C or better configured as a single-lane hybrid roundabout. Detailed SIDRA control delay and LOS results are summarized in Table 5

### 6.42030 BUILD CONDITIONS $95{ }^{\text {TH }}$ QUEUEING ANALYSIS

Build $203095^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for all vehicle movements at all study intersections using Synchro $11^{\text {TM }}$ software and SIDRA Intersection 8 software for the proposed roundabout. Based on the queue analysis results, all queues are expected to be accommodated within the existing turn lanes at all intersections. Additionally, queues for all through movements are projected to have adequate space between adjacent intersections.

The queue results are summarized in Table 6 following the analysis sections. The queueing analysis results are included in Appendix E.

Detailed SIDRA queue results at the intersection of James Madison Highway and Three Notch Road are summarized in Table 7. Based on the queue results of the proposed single-lane hybrid roundabout, the longest queue is expected to occur at the southbound approach with a $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of approximately 462 feet. However, the southbound vehicle queue is not expected to queue into upstream intersections.

Table 4: Control Delay and LOS Summary

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | 2022 Existing |  |  |  | 2030 No-Build |  |  |  | 2030 Build |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM |  | PM |  | AM |  | PM |  | AM |  | PM |  |
|  |  |  | Delay $(\mathrm{sec})$ | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Delay $(\mathrm{sec})$ | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay $(\mathrm{sec})$ | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| 1. Three Notch Road at Zion Road | EB | L | 0 | A | 7.8 | A | 0 | A | 7.8 | A | 0 | A | 7.9 | A |
|  |  | T | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
|  |  | Approach | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) |
|  | WB | T | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
|  |  | R | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
|  |  | Approach | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
|  | SB | LR | 11.1 | B | 11.0 | B | 11.4 | B | 11.2 | B | 11.5 | B | 11.3 | B |
|  |  | Approach | 11.1 | B | 11.0 | B | 11.4 | B | 11.2 | B | 11.5 | B | 11.3 | B |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| 2. James <br> Madison <br> Highway at Three Notch Road | EB | L | 27.9 | C | 28.3 | C | 28.2 | C | 29.0 | C | 28.7 | C | 29.3 | C |
|  |  | T | 29.4 | C | 30.9 | C | 29.3 | C | 30.9 | C | 29.4 | C | 31.0 | C |
|  |  | R | 29.2 | C | 29.9 | C | 29.1 | C | 29.8 | C | 29.1 | C | 29.8 | C |
|  |  | Approach | 28.3 | C | 29.2 | C | 28.5 | C | 29.6 | C | 28.8 | C | 29.8 | C |
|  | WB | L | 24.7 | C | 25.1 | C | 24.6 | C | 25.0 | C | 24.7 | C | 25.0 | C |
|  |  | T | 35.5 | D | 35.4 | D | 35.5 | D | 35.5 | D | 35.7 | D | 35.5 | D |
|  |  | R | 36.1 | D | 35.7 | D | 36.2 | D | 35.9 | D | 36.3 | D | 35.8 | D |
|  |  | Approach | 34.2 | C | 33.2 | C | 34.2 | C | 33.3 | C | 34.2 | C | 33.2 | C |
|  | NB | L | 21.2 | C | 21.3 | C | 21.5 | C | 21.5 | C | 21.5 | C | 21.7 | C |
|  |  | T | 32.4 | C | 38.9 | D | 33.7 | C | 41.3 | D | 34.0 | C | 42.1 | D |
|  |  | R | 28.2 | C | 32.4 | C | 28.7 | C | 33.2 | C | 28.8 | C | 33.4 | C |
|  |  | Approach | 30.9 | C | 36.8 | D | 32.0 | C | 38.9 | D | 32.3 | C | 39.5 | D |
|  | SB | L | 22.3 | C | 26.8 | C | 22.9 | C | 29.3 | C | 23.1 | C | 29.8 | C |
|  |  | T | 26.7 | C | 28.6 | C | 27.2 | C | 29.4 | C | 27.4 | C | 29.8 | C |
|  |  | R | 25.6 | C | 25.6 | C | 26.0 | C | 25.9 | C | 26.2 | C | 26.2 | C |
|  |  | Approach | 25.3 | C | 27.4 | C | 25.7 | C | 28.6 | C | 26.0 | C | 29.0 | C |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | 29.4 | C | 30.6 | C | 29.8 | C | 31.7 | C | 30.0 | C | 32.0 | C |
| 3. Three Notch Road at West Project Driveway | WB | L | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 8.0 | A | 8.4 | A |
|  |  | Approach | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
|  | NB | L | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 12.1 | B | 13.1 | B |
|  |  | R | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | 9.3 | A | 9.8 | A |
|  |  | Approach | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | 10.6 | B | 11.2 | B |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| 4. James Madison Highway at South Project Driveway | EB | L | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | 22.8 | C | 30.8 | D |
|  |  | R | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 10.2 | B | 11.2 | B |
|  |  | Approach | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 16.0 | C | 20.3 | C |
|  | NB | L | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | 8.4 | A | 9.2 | A |
|  |  | Approach | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |

Notes: ${ }^{(1)}$ Movement operates under free-flow conditions, therefore, no LOS is reported.
${ }^{(2)}$ Intersection operations under two-way stop-controlled conditions, therefore, no overall LOS is reported.
${ }^{(3)}$ Intersection does not exist under existing or no-build conditions, therefore, no LOS is reported.

Table 5: Roundabout Control Delay and LOS Summary

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | 2030 Build - Roundabout |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM |  | PM |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS |
| 2. James Madison Highway <br> at Three Notch Road | EB | L | 10.6 | B | 20.5 | C |
|  |  | T | 9.6 | A | 27.7 | C |
|  |  | R | 9.4 | A | 28.1 | C |
|  |  | Approach | 10.3 | B | 23.3 | C |
|  | WB | L | 9.5 | A | 10.4 | B |
|  |  | T | 8.6 | A | 10.0 | B |
|  |  | R | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
|  |  | Approach | 3.5 | A | 4.5 | A |
|  | NB | L | 15.2 | B | 26.6 | C |
|  |  | T | 14.9 | B | 26.0 | C |
|  |  | R | 14.7 | B | 18.4 | B |
|  |  | Approach | 14.9 | B | 25.1 | C |
|  | SB | L | 9.7 | A | 19.6 | B |
|  |  | T | 9.6 | A | 19.5 | B |
|  |  | R | 7.9 | A | 9.8 | A |
|  |  | Approach | 9.1 | A | 17.3 | B |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | 9.6 | A | 18.2 | B |

Table 6: $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Summary

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | 2022 Existing |  | 2030 No-Build |  | 2030 Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue (fit) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| 1. Three Notch Road at Zion Road | EB | L | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
|  | SB | LR | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
| 2. James Madison Highway at Three Notch Road | EB | L | 144 | 177 | 155 | 192 | 164 | 200 |
|  |  | T | 25 | 58 | 26 | 63 | 28 | 64 |
|  |  | R | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
|  | WB | L | 53 | 61 | 56 | 65 | 59 | 68 |
|  |  | T | 46 | 36 | 49 | 39 | 51 | 41 |
|  |  | R | 69 | <25 | 72 | <25 | 72 | <25 |
|  | NB | L | 34 | 31 | 36 | 33 | 36 | 33 |
|  |  | T | 152 | 163 | 164 | 176 | 169 | 180 |
|  |  | R | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 |
|  | SB | L | 93 | 183 | 101 | 198 | 101 | 198 |
|  |  | T | 100 | 167 | 108 | 182 | 112 | 187 |
|  |  | R | <25 | 33 | 30 | 43 | 38 | 50 |
| 3. Three Notch Road at West Project Driveway | WB | L | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | <25 | <25 |
|  | NB | L | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | <25 | <25 |
|  |  | R | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | <25 | <25 |
| 4. James Madison Highway at South Project Driveway | EB | L | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | 33 | 38 |
|  |  | R | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | <25 | <25 |
|  | NB | L | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | <25 | <25 |

Note: ${ }^{(1)}$ Intersection does not exist under existing and no-build conditions, therefore, no queue is reported.

Table 7: Roundabout 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Summary

| Intersection | Approach | Movement | 2030 Build - Roundabout <br> 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue ( ft ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM | PM |
| 2. James Madison Highway at Three Notch Road | EB | L | 64 | 155 |
|  |  | T | <25 | 131 |
|  |  | R | <25 | 131 |
|  |  | Approach | 64 | 155 |
|  | WB | L | 47 | 50 |
|  |  | T | 47 | 50 |
|  |  | R | <25 | <25 |
|  |  | Approach | 47 | 50 |
|  | NB | L | 141 | 212 |
|  |  | T | 141 | 212 |
|  |  | R | <25 | <25 |
|  |  | Approach | 141 | 212 |
|  | SB | L | 95 | 462 |
|  |  | T | 95 | 462 |
|  |  | R | 45 | 54 |
|  |  | Approach | 95 | 462 |

## 7 ACCESS MANAGEMENT AND TURN LANE WARRANTS

Figure 9 summarizes the required and proposed access spacing. The requirements are based on the spacing standards outlined Table 2-2 in Appendix F of the VDOT Road Design Manual. Access to the proposed development will be provided via one (1) full access driveway along Three Notch Road just west of James Madison Highway (west project driveway) and one (1) full access driveway located along James Madison Highway just south of Three Notch Road (south project driveway).

The VDOT spacing standard for a signal and a full-access driveway entrance found in Table 2-2 is 470 feet for a $45-\mathrm{mph}$, minor arterial (James Madison Highway) and 335 feet for a 45 -mph, major collector (Three Notch Road). The provided distance from the signalized intersection of James Madison Highway at Three Notch Road to the full-access driveways along James Madison Highway and Three Notch Road is approximately 630 feet and 650 feet respectively. Therefore, the proposed driveway entrances meet VDOT's access management requirements.

A right-turn lane warrant analysis was prepared for the eastbound approach at the proposed full access west project driveway along Three Notch Road. The analysis determined that an eastbound right-turn lane with taper only is warranted. Note that there is currently an existing eastbound right-turn lane at the signalized intersection of Three Notch Road and James Madison Highway which extends through the location of the proposed west project driveway. Therefore, the existing eastbound right-turn lane can serve as an eastbound right-turn lane into the west project driveway. The right-turn lane warrant analysis worksheet is included in Appendix F.

A left-turn lane warrant analysis was prepared for the westbound approach at the proposed full access west project driveway along Three Notch Road. The analysis determined that a westbound left-turn lane with 200 feet of storage is warranted. Note that there is currently an existing two-way left-turn lane along Three Notch Road at the proposed location of the west project driveway. Therefore, the existing two-way left-turn lane may modified to accommodate a left-turn lane into the west project driveway. The left-turn lane warrant analysis worksheet is included in Appendix F.

A right-turn lane warrant analysis was prepared for the southbound approach at the proposed full access south project driveway along James Madison Highway. The analysis determined that a southbound rightturn lane with taper only is warranted. Note that a southbound right-turn lane is already proposed at the south project driveway in the site plan shown in Appendix A. The right-turn lane warrant analysis worksheet is included in Appendix F.

A left-turn lane warrant analysis was prepared for the northbound approach at the proposed full access south project driveway along James Madison Highway. The analysis determined that a northbound left-turn lane with 200 feet of storage is warranted. The left-turn lane warrant analysis worksheet is included in Appendix F.

## Kimley»Horn

Figure 9: Access Management Spacing


## 8 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the traffic analysis indicate that all intersection approaches and movements operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hours under existing, 2030 no-build, and 2030 build conditions. Note that the intersection of Route 250 (Three Notch Road) at Route 15 (James Madison Highway) is proposed to be converted to a roundabout in the future as part of the submitted SMART SCALE application ID: 7193 which is awaiting funding. All movements and approaches at the proposed single-lane hybrid roundabout are expected to operate at LOS C or better during the AM and PM peak hours under 2030 build conditions.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile vehicle queue lengths were calculated for all vehicle movements at all study intersections. Based on the queue analysis results, all queues are expected to be accommodated within the existing turn lanes at all intersections under existing 2022, 2030 no-build, and 2030 build conditions. Additionally, queues for all through movements are projected to have adequate space between adjacent intersections. Overall, the intersection capacity and queue analysis results indicate that the study intersections will not be adversely impacted by project traffic.

Access to the proposed development will be provided via one (1) full access driveway along Three Notch Road just west of James Madison Highway (west project driveway) and one (1) full access driveway located along James Madison Highway just south of Three Notch Road (south project driveway). The proposed full access driveways are within spacing requirements from the VDOT Road Design Manual Appendix F and will not require an access waiver. Right and left-turn lane warrant analyses were conducted at both of the proposed full access driveway entrances. Based on the results of these analyses, a right and left-turn lane are warranted at the proposed full access west project driveway and the south project driveway.

## APPENDIX A

## Conceptual Site Plan



## APPENDIX B <br> Pre-Scoping Form

Virginia Department of Transportation

## PRE-SCOPE OF WORK MEETING FORM <br> Information on the Project <br> Traffic Impact Analysis Base Assumptions

The applicant is responsible for entering the relevant information and submitting the form to VDOT and the locality no less than three (3) business days prior to the meeting. If a form is not received by this deadline, the scope of work meeting may be postponed.

| Contact I nformation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant Name: Tele: E-mail: | David Capparuccini, Kimley-Horn and Associates (804) 672-4715 <br> david.capparuccini@kimley-horn.com |  |  |  |
| Developer/Owner Name: Tele: E-mail: | Renaud Consulting (571) 765-4436 mfontaine@renaudconsulting.net |  |  |  |
| Project I nformation |  |  |  |  |
| Project Name: | Wawa - Zion Crossr |  | Locality/County: | Fluvanna County \& Louisa County |
| Project Location: (Attach regional and site specific location map) | See Attached Map |  |  |  |
| Submission Type | Comp Plan $\square$ | Rezoning $\square$ | Site Plan $\boxtimes$ | Subd Plat |
| Project Description: (Including details on the land use, acreage, phasing, access location, etc. Attach additional sheet if necessary) | This project proposes to consturct an 18-pump ( 6,059 square-foot) Wawa gas station. Access to the project will be provided via two full-access driveways, one along the south side of Three Norch Road just west of James Madison Highway and one along the west side of James Madison Highway just south of Three Notch Road. See site plan attached. |  |  |  |
| Proposed Use(s): (Check all that apply; attach additional pages as necessary) | Residential $\square$ | Commercial $\boxtimes$ | Mixed Use $\square$ | Other $\square$ |
|  | Residential Uses(s)  <br> Number of Units: - <br> ITE LU Code(s): - <br>  - <br> Commercial Use(s)  <br> ITE LU Code(s):  <br> Square Ft or Other Variable: |  | Other Use(s) <br> ITE LU Code(s): <br> Independent Variable(s): |  |
| Total Peak Hour Trip Projection: | Less than $100 \square$ | 100-499 $\square$ | 500-999 $\backslash$ | 1,000 or more $\square$ |

It is important for the applicant to provide sufficient information to county and VDOT staff so that questions regarding geographic scope, alternate methodology, or other issues can be answered at the scoping meeting.

Traffic I mpact Analysis Assumptions


It is important for the applicant to provide sufficient information to county and VDOT staff so that questions regarding geographic scope, alternate methodology, or other issues can be answered at the scoping meeting.

| Improvement(s) Assumed or to be Considered | None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Background Traffic Studies Considered | None |
| Plan Submission | $\square$ Master Development Plan (MDP) $\square$ Generalized Development Plan (GDP) <br> $\square$ Preliminary/Sketch Plan $\square$ Other Plan type (Final Site, Subd. Plan) |
| Additional Issues to be Addressed | $\boxtimes$ Queuing analysis $\square$ Actuation/Coordination $\square$ Weaving analysis <br> $\square$ Merge analysis $\square$ Bike/Ped Accommodations $\boxtimes$ Intersection(s) <br> $\square$ TDM Measures $\square$ Other  |

## NOTES on ASSUMPTIONS:

$\qquad$

SI GNED: $\qquad$ DATE: $\qquad$
Applicant or Consultant
PRINT NAME:
Applicant or Consultant geographic scope, alternate methodology, or other issues can be answered at the scoping meeting.



## APPENDIX C

## Trip Generation

PROPOSED WEEKDAY AM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION

|  |  | ITE TRIP GENERATION CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  | DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION <br> Percent |  | BASELINETRIPS |  |  | PASS-BY CAPTURE |  | NET NEWEXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Land Use | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { Edition } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { Code } \end{gathered}$ | Scale | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ITE } \\ \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | In | Out | Total | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PB } \\ \text { Trips } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | In | Out | Total |
|  |  | In |  |  |  |  | Out |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 1 |  | Super Convenience MarketGas Station | 10 | 960 | 6.049 | ksf | 50\% | 50\% | 252 | 251 | 503 | 76.0\% | 382 | 61 | 60 | 121 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITE Land Use Code |  |  | Rate or Equation |  |  |  | Total: |  | 252 | 251 | 503 | 76.0\% | 382 | 61 | 60 | 121 |
|  |  | 960 | $\mathrm{Y}=83.14(\mathrm{X})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PROPOSED WEEKDAY PM PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION


## APPENDIX D Traffic Count Data

Prepared by National Data \& Surveying Services
James Madison Hwy \& 3 N otch Rd/ Rte 250
Peak Hour Tuming Movement Count

ID: 22-250017-001
City: Troy


Prepared by National Data \& Surveying Services

## Zion Rd \& 3 N otch Rd/ Rte 250

Peak Hour Tuming Movement Count

ID: 22-250017-002
City: Troy


SOUTHBOUND


Day: Thursday
Date: 11/3/2022


HT (NOON)


HT (PM)


## APPENDIX E

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

## A.M. Existing 2022

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 4 | 「 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 152 | 224 | 56 | 90 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 152 | 224 | 56 | 90 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 25 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 158 | 233 | 58 | 94 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 291 | 0 - | 312 | 233 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 233 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | 79 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - - | - 6.69 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | . | - - | - 5.49 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - - | 5.89 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - - | - 3.557 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1282 | - - | 659 | 811 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 794 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 925 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1282 | - - | - 659 | 811 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - - | - 683 | - |
| Stage 1 |  | - - | - 794 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 925 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1282 | - | - | - | 685 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | - | 0.14 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | - | 11.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
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2: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) \& Route 250 (Three Notch Road)


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 91 (91\%), Referenced to phase 4:NBSB and 8:NBSB, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 2: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) \& Route 250 (Three Notch Road)
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| Lane Group | $4$EBL | $\xrightarrow[\text { EBT }]{\rightarrow}$ | EBR | WBL |  |  | NBL | $\begin{array}{r} \uparrow \\ \text { NBT } \end{array}$ | NBR | SBL | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$SBT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 197 | 40 | 33 | 62 | 83 | 247 | 39 | 366 | 62 | 141 | 245 | 184 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay | 28.2 | 31.7 | 0.2 | 21.5 | 37.7 | 10.7 | 17.4 | 32.2 | 0.4 | 19.3 | 25.8 | 2.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 28.2 | 31.7 | 0.2 | 21.5 | 37.7 | 10.7 | 17.4 | 32.2 | 0.4 | 19.3 | 25.8 | 2.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 88 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 0 | 14 | 101 | 0 | 52 | 63 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 144 | 25 | 0 | 53 | 46 | 69 | 34 | 152 | 0 | 93 | 100 | 20 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 916 |  |  | 980 |  |  | 1099 |  |  | 703 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 270 |  | 860 | 365 |  | 300 | 285 |  | 350 | 270 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 400 | 800 | 550 | 405 | 544 | 440 | 478 | 937 | 563 | 459 | 1151 | 684 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | - | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 187 | 38 | 31 | 59 | 79 | 235 | 37 | 348 | 59 | 134 | 233 | 175 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 187 | 38 | 31 | 59 | 79 | 235 | 37 | 348 | 59 | 134 | 233 | 175 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1517 | 3343 | 1524 | 1570 | 3471 | 1482 | 1626 | 3406 | 1404 | 1583 | 3195 | 1468 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1118 | 3343 | 1524 | 1205 | 3471 | 1482 | 1026 | 3406 | 1404 | 834 | 3195 | 1468 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 197 | 40 | 33 | 62 | 83 | 247 | 39 | 366 | 62 | 141 | 245 | 184 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 128 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 197 | 40 | 8 | 62 | 83 | 42 | 39 | 366 | 16 | 141 | 245 | 56 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 19\% | 8\% | 6\% | 15\% | 4\% | 9\% | 11\% | 6\% | 15\% | 14\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Turn Type | D.P+P | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 31.8 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 31.4 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 35.9 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 37.3 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 31.8 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 31.4 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 35.9 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 37.3 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 414 | 798 | 364 | 405 | 590 | 251 | 400 | 875 | 360 | 397 | 977 | 449 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.07 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | c0.11 |  | c0.04 | c0.08 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.08 |  | 0.01 | c0.04 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.09 |  | 0.04 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.13 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 26.7 | 29.3 | 29.1 | 24.5 | 35.3 | 35.5 | 21.0 | 30.9 | 27.9 | 21.6 | 26.1 | 25.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Delay (s) | 27.9 | 29.4 | 29.2 | 24.7 | 35.5 | 36.1 | 21.2 | 32.4 | 28.2 | 22.3 | 26.7 | 25.6 |
| Level of Service | C | C | C | C | D | D | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 28.3 |  |  | 34.2 |  |  | 30.9 |  |  | 25.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 29.4 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.46 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 100.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | 32.7 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $57.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | B |
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## P.M. Existing 2022

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement EBL | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | 44 | 4 | 7 | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 294 | 165 | 100 | 77 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 294 | 165 | 100 | 77 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 25 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 309 | 174 | 105 | 81 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 279 | 0 | - | 0 | 331 | 174 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 174 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 157 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | -6.675 | 6.2 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | -5.475 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.875 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | -3.5475 | 3.3 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1295 | - | - | -644 | 875 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 848 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 848 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1295 | - | - | - | 643 | 875 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 680 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 847 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | -848 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1295 | - | - | - | 680 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.001 | - | - | - | 0.119 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | - | - | - | 11 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.4 |
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2：Route 15 （James Madison Highway）\＆Route 250 （Three Notch Road）

|  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | $p$ | － | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「＇ | ${ }^{1}$ | 中4 | 「 | 7 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 236 | 109 | 41 | 70 | 57 | 176 | 33 | 343 | 47 | 272 | 410 | 194 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 236 | 109 | 41 | 70 | 57 | 176 | 33 | 343 | 47 | 272 | 410 | 194 |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 16.9 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 17.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 16.8 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 15.4 | 22.3 | 22.3 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 28.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 25．0\％ | 26．0\％ | 26．0\％ | 22．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 19．0\％ | 24．0\％ | 24．0\％ | 28．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min | C－Min |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： 19 （19\％），Referenced to phase 4：NBSB and 8：NBSB，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 80
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：2：Route 15 （James Madison Highway）\＆Route 250 （Three Notch Road）
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2: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) \& Route 250 (Three Notch Road)

| Lane Group |  |  |  |  | WBT | $4$ <br> WBR | NBL | $\uparrow$ <br> NBT |  | SBL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 248 | 115 | 43 | 74 | 60 | 185 | 35 | 361 | 49 | 286 | 432 | 204 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.35 | 0.28 |
| Control Delay | 28.8 | 33.6 | 0.3 | 22.5 | 37.6 | 2.0 | 17.2 | 38.3 | 0.3 | 24.2 | 26.7 | 3.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 28.8 | 33.6 | 0.3 | 22.5 | 37.6 | 2.0 | 17.2 | 38.3 | 0.3 | 24.2 | 26.7 | 3.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 112 | 32 | 0 | 30 | 17 | 0 | 12 | 108 | 0 | 117 | 117 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 177 | 58 | 0 | 61 | 36 | 0 | 31 | 163 | 0 | 183 | 167 | 33 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 916 |  |  | 980 |  |  | 1099 |  |  | 703 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 270 |  | 860 | 365 |  | 300 | 285 |  | 350 | 270 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 459 | 728 | 569 | 400 | 506 | 515 | 390 | 734 | 573 | 528 | 1242 | 722 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 0.09 | 0.54 | 0.35 | 0.28 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Analysis Period (min)
C Critical Lane Group
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## A.M. No-Build 2030

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement EBL | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 4 | 7 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 164 | 242 | 60 | 97 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 164 | 242 | 60 | 97 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 25 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 171 | 252 | 63 | 101 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 315 | 0 | - | 0 | 338 | 252 |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 252 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 86 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.69 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.49 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.89 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | -3.557 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1257 | - | - | - | 635 | 792 |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 779 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 917 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1257 | - | - | - | 635 | 792 |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 667 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 779 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 917 | - |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.4 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1257 | - | - | - | 669 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | -0.154 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | - | 11.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
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2：Route 15 （James Madison Highway）\＆Route 250 （Three Notch Road）

| Lane Group | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \text { EBL } \end{aligned}$ | EBT |  |  |  |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 202 | 41 | 33 | 64 | 85 | 254 | 40 | 376 | 64 | 145 | 252 | 189 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 202 | 41 | 33 | 64 | 85 | 254 | 40 | 376 | 64 | 145 | 252 | 189 |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 16.9 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 17.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 16.8 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 15.4 | 22.3 | 22.3 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 22.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 25．0\％ | 28．0\％ | 28．0\％ | 20．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 19．0\％ | 30．0\％ | 30．0\％ | 22．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min | C－Min |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： 91 （91\％），Referenced to phase 4：NBSB and 8：NBSB，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 80
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：2：Route 15 （James Madison Highway）\＆Route 250 （Three Notch Road）
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Queues
No-Build
2: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) \& Route 250 (Three Notch Road) AM Peak Hour
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| Movement | \％ | EBT | EBR | WBL | － WBT | 4 WBR | 4 | ¢ <br> NBT | NBR | SBL | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\dagger}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 | 「 | 7 | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 4 | 「 | \％ | 性 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 202 | 41 | 33 | 64 | 85 | 254 | 40 | 376 | 64 | 145 | 252 | 189 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 202 | 41 | 33 | 64 | 85 | 254 | 40 | 376 | 64 | 145 | 252 | 189 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1517 | 3343 | 1524 | 1570 | 3471 | 1482 | 1626 | 3406 | 1404 | 1583 | 3195 | 1468 |
| FIt Permitted | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1112 | 3343 | 1524 | 1202 | 3471 | 1482 | 1007 | 3406 | 1404 | 777 | 3195 | 1468 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 213 | 43 | 35 | 67 | 89 | 267 | 42 | 396 | 67 | 153 | 265 | 199 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 213 | 43 | 8 | 67 | 89 | 46 | 42 | 396 | 17 | 153 | 265 | 60 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 19\％ | 8\％ | 6\％ | 15\％ | 4\％ | 9\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ | 15\％ | 14\％ | 13\％ | 10\％ |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | ， | 4 |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 32.1 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 31.7 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 37.0 | 30.3 | 30.3 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 32.1 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 31.7 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 37.0 | 30.3 | 30.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 417 | 802 | 365 | 409 | 593 | 253 | 391 | 851 | 351 | 384 | 968 | 444 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．08 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | c0．12 |  | c0．05 | c0．08 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．09 |  | 0.01 | c0．04 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.10 |  | 0.04 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.14 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 26.8 | 29.3 | 29.0 | 24.4 | 35.3 | 35.5 | 21.3 | 31.8 | 28.5 | 22.0 | 26.5 | 25.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 28.2 | 29.3 | 29.1 | 24.6 | 35.5 | 36.2 | 21.5 | 33.7 | 28.7 | 22.9 | 27.2 | 26.0 |
| Level of Service |  | C | C | C | D | D | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 28.5 |  |  | 34.2 |  |  | 32.0 |  |  | 25.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 29.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.50 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 100.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | 32.7 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $59.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | B |
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## P.M. No-Build 2030

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | 44 | 4 | 「 | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 318 | 178 | 108 | 83 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 318 | 178 | 108 | 83 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 25 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 335 | 187 | 114 | 87 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 301 | 0 | - | 0 | 357 | 187 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 187 | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 170 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.675 | 6.2 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | -5.475 | - |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.875 | - |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | -3.5475 | 3.3 |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1272 | - | - | - | 621 | 860 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 836 | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 835 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1272 | - | - | - | 620 | 860 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 664 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 835 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.2 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1272 | - | - | - | 664 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.001 | - | - | -0.132 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | - | - | - | 11.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
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2：Route 15 （James Madison Highway）\＆Route 250 （Three Notch Road）

|  | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 | 4 | － | － | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | 7 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 255 | 118 | 44 | 76 | 62 | 190 | 36 | 370 | 51 | 294 | 443 | 210 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 255 | 118 | 44 | 76 | 62 | 190 | 36 | 370 | 51 | 294 | 443 | 210 |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 16.9 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 17.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 16.8 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 15.4 | 22.3 | 22.3 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 28.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 25．0\％ | 26．0\％ | 26．0\％ | 22．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 23．0\％ | 19．0\％ | 24．0\％ | 24．0\％ | 28．0\％ | 33．0\％ | 33．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None | C－Min | C－Min |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： 19 （19\％），Referenced to phase 4：NBSB and 8：NBSB，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 80
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：2：Route 15 （James Madison Highway）\＆Route 250 （Three Notch Road）


K：IRIC＿CIV113358－Renaudl003－Route 15 and Route 250 －FluvannalProduction\TrafficlTraffic Analysis\SynchrolFluvanna County＿WAWA＿No－Build．

|  | $\gamma$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | + |  | 1 | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 268 | 124 | 46 | 80 | 65 | 200 | 38 | 389 | 54 | 309 | 466 | 221 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 30.1 | 33.8 | 0.3 | 22.6 | 37.7 | 2.3 | 17.3 | 40.2 | 0.3 | 26.5 | 27.3 | 4.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.1 | 33.8 | 0.3 | 22.6 | 37.7 | 2.3 | 17.3 | 40.2 | 0.3 | 26.5 | 27.3 | 4.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 122 | 34 | 0 | 33 | 18 | 0 | 14 | 120 | 0 | 128 | 128 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 192 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 39 | 0 | 33 | 176 | 0 | 198 | 182 | 43 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 916 |  |  | 980 |  |  | 1099 |  |  | 703 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 270 |  | 860 | 365 |  | 300 | 285 |  | 350 | 270 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 458 | 732 | 570 | 399 | 506 | 515 | 372 | 700 | 561 | 508 | 1230 | 718 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | $\stackrel{y}{4}$ | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | 4 WBR | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | NBT | ＋ | SBL | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | \％ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 255 | 118 | 44 | 76 | 62 | 190 | 36 | 370 | 51 | 294 | 443 | 210 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 255 | 118 | 44 | 76 | 62 | 190 | 36 | 370 | 51 | 294 | 443 | 210 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| FIt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1752 | 3139 | 1380 | 1543 | 3223 | 1538 | 1570 | 3343 | 1455 | 1671 | 3438 | 1568 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1314 | 3139 | 1380 | 1094 | 3223 | 1538 | 703 | 3343 | 1455 | 770 | 3438 | 1568 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 268 | 124 | 46 | 80 | 65 | 200 | 38 | 389 | 54 | 309 | 466 | 221 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 268 | 124 | 11 | 80 | 65 | 34 | 38 | 389 | 10 | 309 | 466 | 67 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 3\％ | 15\％ | 17\％ | 17\％ | 12\％ | 5\％ | 15\％ | 8\％ | 11\％ | 8\％ | 5\％ | 3\％ |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 32.0 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 31.6 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 35.7 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 37.1 | 30.4 | 30.4 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 32.0 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 31.6 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 35.7 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 37.1 | 30.4 | 30.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 487 | 728 | 320 | 383 | 541 | 258 | 296 | 638 | 277 | 447 | 1045 | 476 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．08 | 0.04 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.12 |  | c0．12 | 0.14 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | c0．09 |  | 0.01 | c0．05 |  | 0.02 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 | c0．13 |  | 0.04 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.69 | 0.45 | 0.14 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 27.3 | 30.7 | 29.7 | 24.7 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 21.3 | 37.0 | 33.0 | 24.3 | 28.0 | 25.3 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 29.0 | 30.9 | 29.8 | 25.0 | 35.5 | 35.9 | 21.5 | 41.3 | 33.2 | 29.3 | 29.4 | 25.9 |
| Level of Service | C | C | C | C | D | D | C | D | C | C | C | C |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 29.6 |  |  | 33.3 |  |  | 38.9 |  |  | 28.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 31.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.65 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 100.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | 32.7 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $67.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | C |

K：IRIC＿CIV113358－Renaudl003－Route 15 and Route 250 －FluvannalProduction\TrafficlTraffic Analysis\SynchrolFluvanna County＿WAWA＿No－Build．
A.M. Build 2030

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 4 | 「 | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 174 | 252 | 60 | 97 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 174 | 252 | 60 | 97 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None |  | None |
| Storage Length | 25 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 181 | 263 | 63 | 101 |  |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 326 | 0 | - | 0 | 354 | 263 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 263 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 91 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.69 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.49 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.89 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | -3.557 | 3.3 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1245 | - | - | -621 | 781 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 770 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 912 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1245 | - | - | - | 621 | 781 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 657 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 770 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 912 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1245 | - | - | - | 659 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | -0.156 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | - | 11.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.6 |

K:IRIC_CIV113358 - Renaudl003 - Route 15 and Route 250 - FluvannalProduction\Traffic\Traffic Analysis\SynchrolFluvanna County_WAWA_Build.syn


Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 91 (91\%), Referenced to phase 4:NBSB and 8:NBSB, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 2: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) \& Route 250 (Three Notch Road)


K:IRIC_CIV113358 - Renaudl003 - Route 15 and Route 250 - FluvannalProduction\TrafficlTraffic Analysis\SynchrolFluvanna County_WAWA_Build.syn

| Lane Group | 4 EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | - WBT | + WBR | NBL | ¢ | NBR | + SBL | ¢ SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 224 | 47 | 35 | 72 | 94 | 267 | 42 | 407 | 72 | 153 | 277 | 212 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 30.1 | 32.0 | 0.2 | 21.8 | 37.9 | 10.8 | 17.5 | 33.5 | 0.5 | 20.2 | 26.3 | 3.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.1 | 32.0 | 0.2 | 21.8 | 37.9 | 10.8 | 17.5 | 33.5 | 0.5 | 20.2 | 26.3 | 3.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 100 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 27 | 0 | 15 | 117 | 0 | 58 | 73 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 164 | 28 | 0 | 59 | 51 | 72 | 36 | 169 | 0 | 101 | 112 | 38 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 546 |  |  | 980 |  |  | 542 |  |  | 703 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 270 |  | 860 | 365 |  | 300 | 285 |  | 350 | 270 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 398 | 803 | 551 | 406 | 544 | 457 | 463 | 909 | 553 | 432 | 1135 | 678 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

K:IRIC_CIV1113358 - Renaudl003 - Route 15 and Route 250 - FluvannalProduction\TrafficlTraffic Analysis\SynchrolFluvanna County_WAWA_Build.syn

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | － | － | 1 | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 213 | 45 | 33 | 68 | 89 | 254 | 40 | 387 | 68 | 145 | 263 | 201 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 213 | 45 | 33 | 68 | 89 | 254 | 40 | 387 | 68 | 145 | 263 | 201 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1517 | 3343 | 1524 | 1570 | 3471 | 1482 | 1626 | 3406 | 1404 | 1583 | 3195 | 1468 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.69 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1106 | 3343 | 1524 | 1197 | 3471 | 1482 | 995 | 3406 | 1404 | 757 | 3195 | 1468 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 224 | 47 | 35 | 72 | 94 | 267 | 42 | 407 | 72 | 153 | 277 | 212 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 224 | 47 | 8 | 72 | 94 | 45 | 42 | 407 | 18 | 153 | 277 | 64 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 19\％ | 8\％ | 6\％ | 15\％ | 4\％ | 9\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ | 15\％ | 14\％ | 13\％ | 10\％ |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 32.2 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 31.8 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 35.5 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 36.9 | 30.2 | 30.2 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 32.2 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 31.8 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 35.5 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 36.9 | 30.2 | 30.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 418 | 802 | 365 | 409 | 590 | 251 | 386 | 848 | 349 | 378 | 964 | 443 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．08 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | c0．12 |  | c0．05 | c0．09 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | c0．09 |  | 0.01 | c0．04 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.10 |  | 0.04 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.14 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 29.0 | 24.4 | 35.4 | 35.5 | 21.4 | 32.0 | 28.6 | 22.1 | 26.7 | 25.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Delay（s） | 28.7 | 29.4 | 29.1 | 24.7 | 35.7 | 36.3 | 21.5 | 34.0 | 28.8 | 23.1 | 27.4 | 26.2 |
| Level of Service | C | C | C | C | D | D | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 28.8 |  |  | 34.2 |  |  | 32.3 |  |  | 26.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 30.0 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | C |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.52 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 100.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | 32.7 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $59.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | B |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个4 | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | 个4 | \％ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 231 | 40 | 43 | 287 | 37 | 45 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 231 | 40 | 43 | 287 | 37 | 45 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | None | － | None | － | None |
| Storage Length | － | 0 | 50 | － | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ 0 |  | － | 0 | 0 |  |
| Grade，\％ | 0 |  | － | 0 | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 263 | 45 | 49 | 326 | 42 | 51 |


| Major／Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 308 | 0 | 524 | 132 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 263 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 261 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.14 | - | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |
| Follow－up Hdwy | - | - | 2.22 | - | 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap－1 Maneuver | - | - | 1249 | - | 483 | 893 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 757 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 759 | - |
| Platoon blocked，\％ | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap－1 Maneuver | - | - | 1249 | - | 464 | 893 |
| Mov Cap－2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 551 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 757 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 729 | - |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |  | * $\uparrow$ | 中\% |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 78 | 91 | 83 | 417 | 278 | 86 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 78 | 91 | 83 | 417 | 278 | 86 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control St | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 89 | 103 | 94 | 474 | 316 | 98 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 790 | 207 | 414 | 0 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 16 | 1.7 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 EBLn2 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1141 | - | 290 | 799 | - |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.083 | - | 0.306 | 0.129 | - |
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## $\nabla$ Site: 101 [AM Peak Hour (Site Folder: General)]

New Site
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS $F$ will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: HCM Delay Formula (Geometric Delay is not included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: KIMLEY-HORN \& ASSOCIATES INC | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Monday, November 14, 2022 1:21:12 PM
Project: K:IRIC_CIV113358 - Renaudl003 - Route 15 and Route 250 - FluvannalProduction\Traffic\Traffic Analysis\SIDRA\Roundabout_WAWA.sip9

## P.M. Build 2030

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | 个. | 个 | $\mathbf{F}$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 326 | 186 | 108 | 83 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 326 | 186 | 108 | 83 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 25 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 343 | 196 | 114 | 87 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 310 | 0 | - | 0 | 370 | 196 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 196 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 174 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.675 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | -5.475 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.875 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | -3.5475 | 3.3 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1262 | - | - | - | 610 | 850 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 828 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 831 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1262 | - | - | - | 609 | 850 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 656 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 827 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1262 | - | - | - | 656 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.001 | - | - | -0.133 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | - | - | - | 11.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
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Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 19 (19\%), Referenced to phase 4:NBSB and 8:NBSB, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 2: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) \& Route 250 (Three Notch Road)
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| Lane Group | * EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | - WBT | 4 WBR | 4 NBL | ¢ NBT | + NBR | - SBL | $\ddagger$ SBT | ¢ SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 279 | 128 | 46 | 84 | 69 | 200 | 38 | 399 | 58 | 309 | 477 | 232 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| Control Delay | 30.9 | 33.9 | 0.3 | 22.7 | 37.8 | 2.3 | 17.4 | 40.7 | 0.4 | 27.0 | 27.5 | 4.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.9 | 33.9 | 0.3 | 22.7 | 37.8 | 2.3 | 17.4 | 40.7 | 0.4 | 27.0 | 27.5 | 4.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 128 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 124 | 0 | 128 | 132 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 200 | 64 | 0 | 68 | 41 | 0 | 33 | 180 | 0 | 198 | 187 | 50 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 546 |  |  | 980 |  |  | 542 |  |  | 703 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 270 |  | 860 | 365 |  | 300 | 285 |  | 350 | 270 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 457 | 732 | 571 | 399 | 506 | 515 | 366 | 694 | 559 | 501 | 1226 | 716 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.62 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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c Critical Lane Group
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement EB | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 376 | 33 | 36 | 285 | 30 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 376 | 33 | 36 | 285 | 30 | 39 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | 50 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 427 | 38 | 41 | 324 | 34 | 44 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 465 | 0671 | 214 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | 427 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | 244 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 4.14 | - 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - - | - 5.84 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - - | - 5.84 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 2.22 | 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 1093 | - 390 | 791 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 626 | - |
| Stage 2 |  | - - | 774 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - 1093 | - 375 | 791 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - - | - 479 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - 626 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - 745 |  |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |  | * $\uparrow$ | 4\% |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 75 | 69 | 405 | 505 | 72 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 75 | 69 | 405 | 505 | 72 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control St | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 74 | 85 | 78 | 460 | 574 | 82 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1001 | 328 | 656 | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 615 | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 386 | - | - | - | - |
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## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: 101 [PM Peak Hour (Site Folder: General)]

New Site
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | INPUT VOLUMES |  | DEMAND FLOWS |  | Deg. Satn <br> $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% BACK OF QUEUE <br> [ Veh. Dist ] |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed $\mathrm{mph}$ |
| South: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 36 | 15.0 | 38 | 15.0 | 0.749 | 26.6 | LOS C | 7.9 | 212.4 | 0.84 | 1.08 | 1.71 | 28.0 |
| 8 T1 | 379 | 8.0 | 399 | 8.0 | 0.749 | 26.0 | LOS C | 7.9 | 212.4 | 0.84 | 1.08 | 1.71 | 28.2 |
| 18 R2 | 55 | 11.0 | 58 | 11.0 | 0.218 | 18.4 | LOS B | 0.8 | 22.2 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 30.1 |
| Approach | 470 | 8.9 | 495 | 8.9 | 0.749 | 25.1 | LOS C | 7.9 | 212.4 | 0.83 | 1.03 | 1.59 | 28.4 |
| East: Route 250 (Three Notch Road) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 80 | 17.0 | 84 | 17.0 | 0.275 | 10.4 | LOS B | 1.8 | 50.3 | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 30.9 |
| $6 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 66 | 12.0 | 69 | 12.0 | 0.275 | 10.0 | LOS B | 1.8 | 50.3 | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 31.1 |
| 16 R2 | 190 | 5.0 | 200 | 5.0 | 0.130 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.1 |
| Approach | 336 | 9.2 | 354 | 9.2 | 0.275 | 4.5 | LOS A | 1.8 | 50.3 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 34.1 |
| North: Route 15 (James Madison Highway) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 294 | 8.0 | 309 | 8.0 | 0.788 | 19.6 | LOS B | 17.6 | 461.9 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 1.35 | 28.0 |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 453 | 5.0 | 477 | 5.0 | 0.788 | 19.5 | LOS B | 17.6 | 461.9 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 1.35 | 28.0 |
| 14 R2 | 220 | 3.0 | 232 | 3.0 | 0.344 | 9.8 | LOS A | 2.1 | 54.1 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 31.6 |
| Approach | 967 | 5.5 | 1018 | 5.5 | 0.788 | 17.3 | LOS B | 17.6 | 461.9 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.18 | 28.7 |
| West: Route 250 (Three Notch Road) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L2 | 265 | 3.0 | 279 | 3.0 | 0.584 | 20.5 | LOS C | 6.1 | 155.0 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.40 | 26.7 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 122 | 15.0 | 128 | 15.0 | 0.557 | 27.7 | LOS C | 4.7 | 131.1 | 0.98 | 1.13 | 1.40 | 25.8 |
| 12 R 2 | 44 | 17.0 | 46 | 17.0 | 0.557 | 28.1 | LOS C | 4.7 | 131.1 | 0.98 | 1.13 | 1.40 | 25.1 |
| Approach | 431 | 7.8 | 454 | 7.8 | 0.584 | 23.3 | LOS C | 6.1 | 155.0 | 0.99 | 1.14 | 1.40 | 26.3 |
| All Vehicles | 2204 | 7.2 | 2320 | 7.2 | 0.788 | 18.2 | LOS B | 17.6 | 461.9 | 0.78 | 0.85 | 1.18 | 28.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 6). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
LOS $F$ will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ not used as specified in HCM 6).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: HCM Delay Formula (Geometric Delay is not included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

## APPENDIX F

## Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

## Route 250 (Three Notch Road) and West Project Driveway



FIGURE 3-27 WARRANTS FOR RIGHT TURN TREATMENT (4-LANE HIGHWAY)

## Route 15 (James Madison Highway) and West Project Driveway



FIGURE 3-12 WARRANT FOR LEFT TURN STORAGE LANES ON TWO LANE HIGHWAY

## Route 15 (James Madison Highway) and West Project Driveway



FIGURE 3-11 WARRANT FOR LEFT TURN STORAGE LANES ON TWO LANE HIGHWAY

## Route 15 (James Madison Highway) and South Project Driveway



FIGURE 3-27 WARRANTS FOR RIGHT TURN TREATMENT (4-LANE HIGHWAY)

## Route 15 (James Madison Highway) and South Project Driveway



FIGURE 3-12 WARRANT FOR LEFT TURN STORAGE LANES ON TWO LANE HIGHWAY

## APPENDIX G

## Conceptual Roundabout Sketch
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## PLANS APPLIED BY USER (04/01/2023 TO 04/30/2023)

FOR FLUVANNA COUNTY BUILDING AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT


|  |  | PLANS APPLIED FOR DOUGLAS MILES: 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JASON OVERSTREET |  |  |
| May 01, 2023 | Fluvanna County Building Department \| 132 Main Street | Palmyra, VA 22963 | Page 1 of 5 |

PLANS APPLIED BY USER (04/01/2023 TO 04/30/2023)

| Plan Case \# | Type <br> Application Date Zone | Workclass <br> Expiration Date <br> Sq Ft | Status <br> Complete Date Valuation | Main Address |  | Project | District | Parcel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Approval Expire Date | Applicant |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Fee Total | Assigned To |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0084 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved | 3181 Bybees Church Rd, Palmyra, VA 22963 |  | Not Assigned | Columbia | 11 A 73A |
|  | 04/06/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | $\$ 0.00$ | \$100.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: SFD-Doublewide 56'× $28^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0085 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved |  |  | Not Assigned | Columbia | 33111 |
|  | 04/06/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$100.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: SFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0086 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved |  |  | Not Assigned | Columbia | 3281 A |
|  | 04/06/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$100.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: New Double wide home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0087 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved |  |  | Not Assigned | Cunningham | 26144 |
|  | 04/06/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$100.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: $24^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ garage and bedroom addition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0088 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved | 18 Windy Way, Palmyra, VA 22963 |  | Not Assigned | Cunningham | 18A 1187 |
|  | 04/06/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$50.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: Covering front porch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0089 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved |  |  | Not Assigned | Columbia | 31192 |
|  | 04/10/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$100.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: Single Family Dwelling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0090 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved |  |  | Not Assigned | Columbia | 31195 |
|  | 04/10/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$100.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
|  | Description: Single Family Dwelling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0091 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved | 6166 Union Mills Rd, | Troy, VA 22974 | Not Assigned | Columbia | 10151 |
|  | 04/10/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$150.00 | Jason Overstre |  |  |  |
| May 01, 2023 |  |  | County Building | Department \| 132 Mair | Street \| Palmyra |  |  |  |

PLANS APPLIED BY USER (04/01/2023 TO 04/30/2023)


PLANS APPLIED BY USER (04/01/2023 TO 04/30/2023)


|  |  |  |  |  | PLANS APPLIED FOR JASON OVERSTREET: |  |  | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JON-MIKEL WHALEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0097 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved | 311 South Boston Rd, Palmyra, VA 22963 | Not Assigned | Cunningham | 18 A 42A |  |
|  | 04/17/2023 |  | 04/18/2023 | 04/18/2023 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | \$0.00 | \$50.00 Jon-Mikel Whalen |  |  |  |  |
| MSC23:0099 | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Other | Approved | 13 Colonial Rd, Palmyra, VA 22963 | Not Assigned | Rivanna | 18A 3153 |  |
|  | 04/17/2023 |  | 04/18/2023 | 04/18/2023 |  |  |  |  |

PLANS APPLIED BY USER (04/01/2023 TO 04/30/2023)


| Building Official: | Period: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Andrew Wills | April, 2023 |


| Category | Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW - Single <br> Family <br> Detached (incl. Trades permits \& SWMH) | 2019 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 120 |
|  | 2020 | 12 | 13 | 23 | 14 | 8 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 22 | 11 | 194 |
|  | 2021 | 15 | 9 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 22 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 22 | 13 | 8 | 178 |
|  | 2022 | 17 | 11 | 20 | 11 | 18 | 32 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 164 |
|  | 2023 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW - Single <br> Family <br> Attached <br> (Town Homes) | 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
|  | 2021 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2023 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi Family (Apartment, Duplex) | 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 2023 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additions and Alterations | 2019 | 35 | 33 | 37 | 27 | 38 | 38 | 44 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 35 | 31 | 422 |
|  | 2020 | 37 | 38 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 22 | 27 | 20 | 30 | 34 | 35 | 23 | 349 |
|  | 2021 | 28 | 14 | 43 | 39 | 31 | 40 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 30 | 35 | 33 | 378 |
|  | 2022 | 33 | 48 | 60 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 51 | 63 | 45 | 63 | 51 | 44 | 600 |
|  | 2023 | 52 | 34 | 51 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| * Trade permits count not in. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accessory Buildings | 2019 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 52 |
|  | 2020 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 49 |
|  | 2021 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 38 |
|  | 2022 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 52 |
|  | 2023 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming Pools | 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
|  | 2020 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 2021 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 26 |
|  | 2022 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 2023 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial/ Industrial Build/Cell Towers | 2019 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 2020 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 2023 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING PERMITS | 2019 | 45 | 47 | 58 | 44 | 56 | 54 | 57 | 57 | 50 | 48 | 50 | 43 | 609 |
|  | 2020 | 51 | 56 | 54 | 51 | 46 | 54 | 50 | 48 | 63 | 57 | 54 | 40 | 624 |
|  | 2021 | 51 | 26 | 73 | 66 | 55 | 70 | 50 | 47 | 37 | 56 | 55 | 45 | 631 |
|  | 2022 | 54 | 65 | 97 | 66 | 71 | 86 | 72 | 77 | 61 | 80 | 61 | 50 | 840 |
|  | 2023 | 67 | 51 | 64 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 |


| BUILDING VALUES FOR PERMITS ISSUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL BUILDING VALUES | 2019 | \$1,991,054 | \$2,502,719 | \$5,639,238 | \$4,695,173 | \$3,057,597 | \$3,228,152 | \$3,360,952 | \$3,926,015 | \$3,457,214 | \$2,636,194 | \$3,148,369 | \$2,960,579 |  | 40,603,256 |
|  | 2020 | \$2,292,161 | \$3,206,055 | \$7,238,708 | \$2,997,448 | \$2,245,441 | \$4,389,903 | \$3,644,002 | \$5,555,492 | \$5,271,906 | \$4,201,357 | \$3,513,834 | \$2,954,193 |  | 47,506,500 |
|  | 2021 | \$5,397,000 | \$1,687,484 | \$2,506,869 | \$4,952,702 | \$3,473,256 | \$5,766,891 | \$2,885,146 | \$2,506,053 | \$2,046,134 | \$3,637,390 | \$4,633,868 | \$2,712,396 |  | 41,734,789 |
|  | 2022 | \$5,073,054 | \$3,017,155 | \$5,012,175 | \$2,937,240 | \$5,654,955 | \$9,371,750 | \$11,374,772 | \$17,974,068 | \$2,743,309 | \$4,363,026 | \$6,842,941 | \$1,046,000 |  | 75,410,524 |
|  | 2023 | \$3,929,572 | \$4,916,308 | \$3,029,674 | \$3,087,131 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  | 14,962,685 |


| Category | Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND DISTURBING PERMITS ISSUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAND DISTURBING PERMITS | 2019 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 129 |
|  | 2020 | 11 | 10 | 26 | 13 | 8 | 24 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 13 | 16 | 192 |
|  | 2021 | 22 | 10 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 23 | 13 | 8 | 185 |
|  | 2022 | 16 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 164 |
|  | 2023 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |


| INSPECTIONS COMPLETED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL INSPECTIONS | 2019 | 237 | 207 | 232 | 297 | 305 | 246 | 324 | 332 | 295 | 298 | 204 | 216 | 3,193 |
|  | 2020 | 213 | 197 | 302 | 369 | 371 | 304 | 434 | 368 | 439 | 464 | 407 | 412 | 4,280 |
|  | 2021 | 430 | 349 | 465 | 431 | 402 | 426 | 333 | 355 | 419 | 453 | 422 | 356 | 4,841 |
|  | 2022 | 304 | 414 | 551 | 449 | 439 | 486 | 594 | 589 | 523 | 400 | 300 | 351 | 5,400 |
|  | 2023 | 350 | 298 | 321 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,277 |


| FEES COLLECTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Permits | 2019 | \$11,377 | \$13,617 | \$14,005 | \$14,308 | \$11,228 | \$16,260 | \$13,778 | \$18,772 | \$14,375 | \$8,468 | \$14,747 | \$11,059 | \$ | 161,994 |
|  | 2020 | \$12,863 | \$15,468 | \$18,152 | \$16,803 | \$13,147 | \$28,068 | \$23,193 | \$28,887 | \$24,237 | \$19,359 | \$15,359 | \$15,871 | \$ | 231,407 |
|  | 2021 | \$18,733 | \$15,400 | \$15,654 | \$21,333 | \$16,184 | \$23,031 | \$27,000 | \$11,923 | \$9,144 | \$20,620 | \$15,563 | \$9,211 | \$ | 203,796 |
|  | 2022 | \$21,100 | \$19,347 | \$23,488 | \$15,404 | \$19,739 | \$23,621 | \$18,713 | \$54,782 | \$11,348 | \$34,994 | \$17,567 | \$6,021 | \$ | 266,124 |
|  | 2023 | \$11,925 | \$20,870 | \$11,256 | \$15,385 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ | 59,436 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Disturbing Permits | 2019 | \$1,000 | \$1,500 | \$1,625 | \$1,125 | \$3,553 | \$1,250 | \$2,975 | \$6,556 | \$1,920 | \$250 | \$1,375 | \$1,125 | \$ | 24,251 |
|  | 2020 | \$1,375 | \$1,250 | \$6,365 | \$1,625 | \$1,000 | \$3,000 | \$2,125 | \$8,369 | \$2,500 | \$2,375 | \$4,294 | \$1,875 | \$ | 36,153 |
|  | 2021 | \$5,678 | \$1,250 | \$14,463 | \$2,500 | \$2,250 | \$2,750 | \$13,581 | \$2,824 | \$500 | \$4,848 | \$1,625 | \$1,000 | \$ | 53,268 |
|  | 2022 | \$2,000 | \$2,050 | \$9,963 | \$1,375 | \$2,250 | \$10,014 | \$1,375 | \$2,175 | \$27,725 | \$3,649 | \$2,175 | \$375 | \$ | 65,126 |
|  | 2023 | \$625 | \$1,875 | \$1,125 | \$2,300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ | 5,925 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zoning Fees collected by Planning Dept starting March 2023 | 2019 | \$1,200 | \$1,800 | \$2,200 | \$1,550 | \$2,050 | \$1,350 | \$1,950 | \$2,300 | \$1,700 | \$1,150 | \$1,450 | \$1,400 | \$ | 20,100 |
|  | 2020 | \$1,650 | \$1,600 | \$3,000 | \$1,700 | \$15,550 | \$3,050 | \$2,350 | \$2,300 | \$2,900 | \$2,850 | \$1,600 | \$1,700 | \$ | 26,250 |
|  | 2021 | \$2,150 | \$1,150 | \$3,650 | \$2,950 | \$2,650 | \$3,400 | \$2,450 | \$1,850 | \$1,300 | \$2,900 | \$1,900 | \$1,150 | \$ | 27,500 |
|  | 2022 | \$1,900 | \$1,400 | \$3,900 | \$1,650 | \$2,300 | \$3,900 | \$1,800 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$2,000 | \$1,450 | \$750 | \$ | 24,050 |
|  | 2023 | \$1,350 | \$1,950 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ | 3,300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FEES | 2019 | \$13,577 | \$16,917 | \$17,830 | \$16,983 | \$16,831 | \$18,860 | \$18,703 | \$27,628 | \$17,995 | \$9,868 | \$15,028 | \$132,584 | \$ | 203,804 |
|  | 2020 | \$15,888 | \$18,318 | \$27,517 | \$20,128 | \$15,697 | \$34,118 | \$27,668 | \$39,556 | \$29,637 | \$24,584 | \$24,584 | \$19,446 | \$ | 293,810 |
|  | 2021 | \$25,000 | \$22,797 | \$37,351 | \$18,429 | \$24,289 | \$37,535 | \$21,888 | \$58,457 | \$40,573 | \$40,643 | \$24,584 | \$7,146 | \$ | 290,061 |
|  | 2022 | \$25,001 | \$22,797 | \$37,351 | \$18,429 | \$24,289 | \$37,535 | \$21,888 | \$58,547 | \$40,073 | \$40,643 | \$21,192 | \$7,146 | \$ | 355,300 |
|  | 2023 | \$13,900 | \$24,695 | \$12,381 | \$17,685 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ | 68,661 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The remainder of the parcels not included in the Property would retain their existing zoning unless they are rezoned by the Owner at a future date.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Plan at p. 26.
    ${ }^{3}$ Plan at p. 26. The Plan acknowledges that most new growth occurs at Zion Crossroads, and states the area should develop into a regional mixed-use center characterized by, among other things, a higher intensity and mixture of land uses. Plan at 30-31.
    ${ }^{4}$ Plan at p. 44 (Zion Crossroads Community Plan).
    ${ }^{5}$ Plan at p. 91.
    ${ }^{6}$ Plan at p. 97.

